Before the Environment Court Auckland Registry

I te Kōti Taiao O Aotearoa Tāmaki Makaurau Rohe

ENV-2025-AKL-000160

In the matter of an appeal pursuant to clause 14 of the First Schedule of the Resource Management Act 1991 (**RMA**)

And

In the matter of the Proposed Waitomo District Plan

Between

Roy Wetini Whaanau Trust

Appellant

and

Waitomo District Council

Respondent

Notice of Taharoa Ironsands Limited's wish to be party to proceedings

Dated 22 August 2025

MinterEllisonRuddWatts.

TO: The Registrar

Environment Court

Auckland

PROCEEDING AND STANDING

- 1. Taharoa Ironsands Limited (**TIL**) wishes to be party to the following proceedings:
 - (a) ENV-2025-AKL-000160: an appeal by the Roy Wetini Whaanau Trust
 (Appellant) on parts of Waitomo District Council's decision on the
 Proposed Waitomo District Plan (Proposed Plan).
- 2. TIL made a submission and a further submission on the Proposed Plan about the subject matter of part of the proceedings.
- 3. TIL also has an interest in the proceedings that is greater than the interest that the general public has because:
 - (a) TIL owns and operates the Taharoa Ironsand Mine (**Mine**), which accesses the largest ironsand deposit in New Zealand;
 - (b) The Mine is located on the West Coast of the North Island, south of Kawhia Harbour, and adjacent to Taharoa Village. It is situated on Māori land, on one of New Zealand's most significant ironsand deposits, and has been operating since the 1970s;
 - (c) The Mine is located within the Rural Production Zone under the Proposed Plan and is located adjacent to the Trust's property; and
 - (d) The issues raised, and relief sought, in the proceedings will directly affect the on-going operation and expansion of the Mine.
- 4. TIL is not a trade competitor for the purpose of section 308C of the RMA.

TIL'S INTEREST IN THESE PROCEEDINGS

- 5. TIL is interested in all of the proceedings.
- 6. TIL is interested in the following particular issues (which relate to the Decisions Version of the Proposed Plan):

- (a) The zoning of the Mine's Eastern Block as Rural Production Zone;
- (b) The application of the Indicative Rural Production Areas Overlay to the Appellant's land;
- (c) The 250m minimum setback standard for housing from the boundary of a Rural Production Zone required by General Rural Zone Standard GRUZ-S6;
- (d) The wording of Rural Production Zone Policies RPROZ-P4 (relating to management of adverse effects of activities in the Rural Production Zone) and RPROZ-P6 (ensuring that sites are sufficiently landscaped and screened);
- (e) The wording of Rural Production Zone Rule RPROZ-R25¹ relating to outdoor storage and screening for the placement of overburden from quarry sites; and
- (f) Any other issues or relief sought which may inappropriately affect the on-going operation or expansion of the Mine.
- 7. TIL opposes the relief sought by the Appellant in its entirety because:
 - (a) It is inconsistent with the purpose of the RMA, specifically, it does not promote the sustainable management of natural and physical resources or enable people and communities to provide for their social and economic wellbeing and their health and safety;
 - (b) It is inconsistent with sound resource management practice; and
 - (c) Granting the relief sought by the Appellant will not be the most appropriate way to achieve the purpose of the RMA, give effect to the operative and proposed Waikato Regional Policy Statements, and have appropriate regard to the Waikato Regional Plan.
- 8. Without limiting the generality of the above, TIL also opposes the relief sought by the Appellant because:

¹ Now Standard RPROZ-S6.

- (a) It does not appropriately recognise:
 - (i) The long-standing operation of the Mine within the area of interest;
 - (ii) The existing and on-going investment made by TIL in the successful operation and expansion of Mine;
 - (iii) The regional and national significance of the Mine and the significant economic, social and cultural benefits of the Mine's on-going operation and expansion;
 - (iv) The functional and operational requirements of the Mine;
 - (v) The location of the Mine within an exposed and dynamic coastal dune environment, which creates challenges for the management of potential environmental effects and requires tailored planning provisions; and
 - (vi) That the scale, location, and visibility of the Mine from public spaces and residential areas, which requires tailored planning provisions.
- (b) The Decisions Version of the Proposed Plan appropriately reflects these realities. For example:
 - (i) The s42A report acknowledges the impracticality of full visual screening of the Mine due to the dynamic dune environment and prevailing climatic conditions and recommends that such measures be undertaken "as far as practicable", as reflected in Policy RPROZ-P6.
 - (ii) TIL also opposed the notified version of Rule RPROZ-R25, which would have required all outdoor storage areas to be screened from view. Given the nature of the Mine's operations, including large-scale sand stockpiling and the definition of "outdoor storage area", the decisions version of the Proposed Plan excludes the Mine (and all other RPROZ-SCHED1 Scheduled rural production sites) from this rule to recognise the practicalities of complying with this provision at the Mine

(relative to the environmental benefits). Reverting to the notified version of this rule (as sought by the Appellant) would undermine the effectiveness and efficiency of the planning framework.

- (iii) The zoning of the Eastern Block as Rural Production Zone reflects its existing use for mining activities by TIL. The relief sought by the Appellant fails to recognise this and would compromise the integrity of the Proposed Plan.
- (c) Subject to the single provision that TIL appealed (Rule RPROZ-R7 relating to the provision of residential accommodation for staff), the Decisions Version of the Proposed Plan appropriately provide for all Rural Production activities, including the Mine, in appropriate locations and with appropriate controls.

MEDIATION / ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION

9. TIL agrees to participate in mediation or other alternative dispute resolution in respect of these proceedings.

DATED at Auckland this 22nd day of August 2025

Stephanie de Groot / Holly-Marie Rearic

Counsel for the Taharoa Ironsands Limited

Address for service of Taharoa Ironsands Limited:

C/- MinterEllisonRuddWatts PO Box 105 249 Auckland 1143

Attention: Stephanie de Groot / Holly-Marie Rearic

Telephone No: +64 9 353 9765 Fax No: +64 9 353 9701

Email: <u>stephanie.degroot@minterellison.co.nz</u> /

holly-marie.rearic@minterellison.co.nz