

Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity – Submission points in order of plan provision

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
46.46	Federated Farmers	Support	Entire chapter	Retain Chapter 26 Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity permitted activity rules and standards as notified. And Any consequential amendments required as a result of the relief sought.	Accept in part
47.73	Forest and Bird	Oppose with amendment	ECO overview	Amend the overview and provisions in the Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity chapter to include rules and policy for the maintenance of indigenous biodiversity which includes SNAs. And Any consequential changes or alternative relief to achieve the relief sought.	Accept in part
FS05.58	Federated Farmers	Oppose		Decline the relief sought	Accept in part
FS30.38	Transpower	Oppose in part		Disallow in part	Accept in part
47.74	Forest and Bird	Oppose with amendment	ECO overview	Amend the overview in the Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity chapter to clarify that maintenance of indigenous biodiversity is not limited to areas not classified as SNA. And Any consequential changes or alternative relief to achieve the relief sought.	Accept in part
FS03.107	Department of Conservation (DoC)	Support		Allow	Accept in part
FS05.59	Federated Farmers	Oppose		Decline the relief sought	Accept in part
FS30.39	Transpower	Oppose in part		Disallow in part	Accept in part
47.75	Forest and Bird	Oppose with amendment	ECO overview	Amend the overview in the Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity chapter to explain that areas meeting the significance criteria are identified in Schedule 6 and on the planning maps, and that further areas may be identified on a case-by-case basis through resource consent processes.	Accept in part

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
				And Any consequential changes or alternative relief to achieve the relief sought.	
FS03.108	DoC	Support		Allow	Accept in part
FS05.60	Federated Farmers	Oppose		Decline the relief sought	Accept in part
FS12.02	MFMNZL	Oppose in part		Reject clause 2 of the submission	Accept in part
FS30.40	Transpower	Oppose		Disallow	Accept in part
47.76	Forest and Bird	Oppose with amendment	ECO overview	Amend the overview in the Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity chapter to include an explanation of the extent to which this chapter gives effect to the NZCPS, including the requirement to protect indigenous biodiversity under Policy 11 of the NZCPS being included in the ECO chapter and that adverse effects of other vegetation clearance in the coastal environment as it may relate to protection of natural character, landscapes and features is addressed by provision in the CE and NLF chapters. And Amend those other chapters to ensure this explanation is correct. And Any consequential changes or alternative relief to achieve the relief sought.	Accept in part
FS03.109	DoC	Support		Allow	Accept in part
47.77	Forest and Bird	Oppose with amendment	ECO overview	Amend the overview in the Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity chapter to state that effects on SNAs within the Manawatū- Whanganui region are addressed by both the district and regional plan. And Any consequential changes or alternative relief to achieve the relief sought.	Reject
FS03.110	DoC	Support		Allow	Reject
08.12	Manulife Forest Management New Zealand Ltd (MFMNZL)	Amend	Whole Chapter	MFMNZL appears to be requesting that the chapter be amended once the NPSIB comes into force.	Accept

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
FS03.07	DoC	Support		Allow to the extent it is consistent with her primary submission	Accept
FS19.120	PF Olsen	Support		Allow submission points where there is alignment with the PF Olsen submission	Accept
31.62	Transpower New Zealand Ltd (Transpower)	Support and Amend	Whole chapter, but specifically ECO-O1, ECO-O4, ECO-O5, ECO-P1, ECO-P2, ECO-P3, ECO-P4, ECO-P5, ECO-P6, ECO-P11, and ECO-P13	Amend Chapter 26 Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity to recognize the National Grid, specifically ECO-O1, ECO-O4, ECO-O5, ECO-P1, ECO-P2, ECO-P3, ECO-P4, ECO-P5, ECO-P6, ECO-P11, and ECO-P13 to give effect to the NPSET, in the event that the specific Chapter 19 National Grid policies do not prevail. And Any consequential amendments.	Accept in part
FS03.65	DoC	Oppose in part		Disallow in part	Accept in part
08.13	MFMNZL	Support	ECO-O1	Retain objective as notified.	Accept
FS19.121	PF Olsen	Support		Allow submission points where there is alignment with the PF Olsen submission	Accept
10.73	Waikato Regional Council (WRC)	Oppose	ECO-O1	Reword the objective to: " <u>Maintain, enhance and where possible restore district-wide indigenous biodiversity .</u> "	Reject Note this submission point applies to ECO-O4
FS03.22	DoC	Support		Allow	Reject
FS05.32	Federated Farmers	Oppose		Decline the relief sought	Accept

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
33.38	King Country Energy	Support	ECO-01	Retain ECO-01 as notified.	Accept
43.31	Graymont (NZ) Ltd	Support	ECO-01	Retain ECO-01 as notified.	Accept
47.79	Forest and Bird	Oppose	ECO-01	Delete ECO-01 and replace with new text to read: <u>Indigenous biodiversity including significant indigenous vegetation and the significant habitats of indigenous fauna is protected.</u> And Any consequential changes or alternative relief to achieve the relief sought.	Reject
FS03.112	DoC	Support in part		Allow in part	Reject
FS05.61	Federated Farmers	Oppose		Decline the relief sought	Accept
FS10.38	King Country Energy	Oppose		Disallow	Accept
FS25.12	Ventus Energy	Oppose		Disallow	Accept
FS19.58	PF Olsen	Oppose		Disallow submission point	Accept
FS30.41	Transpower	Oppose		Disallow	Accept
53.28	Department of Conservation (DoC)	Amend	ECO-01 ECO-04	Replace ECO-01 and ECO-04 with the following or words to like effect: <u>There is a net increase in indigenous biodiversity throughout the District, comprising:</u> 1. <u>Protected and restored SNAs, identified in SCHED6; and</u> 2. <u>Other areas of indigenous biodiversity that are maintained and enhanced, and</u> 3. <u>The restoration and enhancement of areas of indigenous biodiversity is encouraged and supported.</u>	Reject

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
FS26.06	WRC	Support with amendment		<p>Replace ECO-O1 and ECO-O4 with the following or words to like effect:</p> <p><u>There is a net increase in indigenous biodiversity throughout the District, comprising:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <u>1. Protected and restored SNAs, identified in SCHED6; and</u> <u>2. Other areas of indigenous biodiversity that are maintained and enhanced;; and</u> <u>3. The restoration and enhancement Restored and enhanced areas of areas of indigenous biodiversity is encouraged and supported;; and</u> <u>4. Indigenous vegetation cover promoted in urban and non-urban environments; and</u> <u>5. Protected SNAs through the avoidance and management of adverse effects from new subdivision, use and development.</u> 	Reject
FS19.32	PF Olsen	Oppose		Disallow submission point	Accept
33.39	King Country Energy	Support	ECO-O2	Retain ECO-O2 as notified.	Accept
38.65	Te Tokanganui- a-noho Whare (TTRMC)	Support	ECO-O2	Retain ECO-O2 as notified.	Accept
FS20.94	Sheryl Paekau	Support		I seek that the whole of all submissions provided by Te Kohanganui Whare be allowed and to take into account my support in part when applied to limiting numbers of dwellings on Maaori land.	Accept
43.32	Graymont (NZ) Ltd	Support	ECO-O2	Retain ECO-O2 as notified.	Accept
50.19	Te Nehenehenui	Support	ECO-O2, ECO-P6.13, ECO-P7, ECO-R8.	Retain the following provisions in the Ecosystems & indigenous biodiversity chapter: ECO-O2. ECO-P6.13. ECO-P7. ECO-R8.	ECO-O2 – Accept ECO-P6.13 ECO-P7 ECO-R8 - Accept
FS20.217	Sheryl Paekau	Support		I seek that the whole of all submissions provided by Te Nehenehenui Trust be allowed and to take into account my support in part when applied to limiting numbers of dwellings on Maaori land	Accept

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
08.14	MFMNZL	Support	ECO-03	Retain objective as notified.	Accept
FS19.122	PF Olsen	Support		Allow submission points where there is alignment with the PF Olsen submission	Accept
10.74	WRC	Oppose	ECO-03	Reword the objective to "Provide for identified permitted activities which have been assessed as having no more than minor adverse effects on the values of significant natural areas <u>indigenous biodiversity</u> ."	Reject
FS03.23	DoC	Support		Allow	Reject
FS05.33	Federated Farmers	Oppose		Decline the relief sought	Accept
FS08.19	Graymont (NZ) Ltd	Oppose		Disallow	Accept
33.40	King Country Energy	Support	ECO-03	Retain ECO-03 as notified.	Accept
43.33	Graymont (NZ) Ltd	Support	ECO-03	Retain ECO-03 as notified.	Accept
47.80	Forest and Bird	Oppose	ECO-03	Delete ECO-03. And Any consequential changes or alternative relief to achieve the relief sought.	Reject
FS05.62	Federated Farmers	Oppose		Decline the relief sought	Accept
FS10.39	King Country Energy	Oppose		Disallow	Accept
FS25.13	Ventus Energy	Oppose		Disallow	Accept
FS19.59	PF Olsen	Oppose		Disallow submission point	Accept
47.81	Forest and Bird	Support with amendment	ECO-04	Amend ECO-04 as follows: Maintain, or enhance and where practicable <u>possible</u> restore district- wide indigenous biodiversity outside of significant natural areas .	Reject

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
				And Any consequential changes or alternative relief to achieve the relief sought.	
FS05.63	Federated Farmers	Oppose		Decline the relief sought	Accept
FS10.40	King Country Energy	Oppose		Disallow	Accept
FS25.14	Ventus Energy	Oppose		Disallow	Accept
FS30.42	Transpower	Oppose		Disallow	Accept
08.15	MFMNZL	Oppose	ECO-04	Delete objective.	Reject
FS05.26	Federated Farmers	Support		Grant the relief sought	Reject
FS19.123	PF Olsen	Support		Allow submission points where there is alignment with the PF Olsen submission	Reject
33.41	King Country Energy	Support	ECO-04	Retain ECO-04 as notified.	Accept
43.34	Graymont (NZ) Ltd	Support	ECO-04	Retain ECO-04 as notified.	Accept
47.82	Forest and Bird	Support with amendment	ECO-05	Amend ECO-05 as follows: Within the coastal environment overlay protect areas of indigenous biodiversity, including significant natural areas. And Any consequential changes or alternative relief to achieve the relief sought.	Reject
FS03.113	DoC	Support		Allow	Reject
FS30.43	Transpower	Oppose		Disallow	Accept
47.83	Forest and Bird	Support with amendment	ECO-06	Amend ECO-06 as follows:	Reject

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
				<p>Ensure that the Waikato River Vision and Strategy is given effect to.</p> <p>And</p> <p>Any consequential changes or alternative relief to achieve the relief sought.</p>	
FS03.114	DoC	Support		Allow	Reject
33.42	King Country Energy	Support	ECO-O6	Retain ECO-O6 as notified.	Accept
47.78	Forest and Bird	Oppose	Policies	<p>Make amendments to the ECO policies to provide direction on the maintenance of indigenous biodiversity.</p> <p>And</p> <p>Any consequential changes or alternative relief to achieve the relief sought.</p>	Accept in part
FS03.111	DoC	Support		Allow	Accept in part
47.84	Forest and Bird	Amend	General comments on policies	<p>Amend ECO policies to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide for protection of s6(c) matters through provisions to protect Schedule 6 SNAs and other areas meeting the significance criteria set out in the RPS. • Maintain indigenous biodiversity. • Set out an effects management hierarchy that requires avoidance in accordance with Policy 11 of the NZCPS in the coastal environment. <p>Require the avoidance of significant adverse effects on significant indigenous vegetation and the significant habitats of indigenous fauna, unless the activity is for the National Grid or renewable energy, in which case those activities should seek to avoid adverse effects.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Require that all other activities avoid adverse effects on indigenous biodiversity to the extent practicable. • Recognize that it may not be practicable to avoid adverse effects to achieve protection as required by s6(c). • Where it is not practicable to be able to avoid adverse effects, adverse effects are remedied, where adverse effects cannot be remedied, they are mitigated. 	Accept in part

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Where residual adverse effects remain after the steps to avoid, remedy and mitigate set out above, consideration may be given to whether it is appropriate to offset residual effects in accordance with the criteria in Appendix 4. Where residual adverse effects remain after offsetting or it is not appropriate to offset residual adverse effects the activity should be declined. <p>And</p> <p>Any consequential changes or alternative relief to achieve the relief sought.</p>	
FS03.115	DoC	Support		Allow	Accept in part
FS05.64	Federated Farmers	Oppose		Decline the relief sought	Accept in part
FS19.60	PF Olsen	Oppose		Disallow submission point	Accept in part
FS30.44	Transpower	Support in part		Allow in part	Accept in part
08.16	MFMNZL	Support	ECO-P1	Retain policy as notified.	Reject
FS19.124	PF Olsen	Support		Allow submission points where there is alignment with the PF Olsen submission	Reject
33.43	King Country Energy	Support	ECO-P1	Retain ECO-P1 as notified.	Reject
43.35	Graymont (NZ) Ltd	Support	ECO-P1	Retain ECO-P1 as notified.	Reject
47.85	Forest and Bird	Oppose	ECO-P1	<p>Delete ECO-P1 and replace with new text to read:</p> <p><u>Recognize and protect areas of significant indigenous vegetation and the significant habitats of indigenous fauna, including by:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <u>1. Managing land use and development to protect significant natural areas identified in Schedule 6; and</u> <u>2. Identifying and protecting other areas meeting the significance criteria in Appendix 5 of the WRPS as significant natural areas,</u> 	Reject

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
				<p><u>including through resource consent processes; and</u></p> <p>3. <u>Assessing the effect of activities on values, characteristics and extent of these areas when activities are proposed; and</u></p> <p>4. <u>In the coastal environment managing adverse effects in accordance with ECO-P7; and</u></p> <p>5. <u>Outside the coastal environment, for the national grid and renewable energy activities seeking to avoid adverse effects on indigenous biodiversity</u></p> <p>6. <u>In all other cases avoiding significant adverse effects on significant natural areas;</u></p> <p>7. <u>Managing other adverse effects in accordance with the effects management hierarchy in ECO PX.</u></p> <p>And</p> <p>Any consequential changes or alternative relief to achieve the relief sought.</p>	
FS05.65	Federated Farmers	Oppose		Decline the relief sought	Accept
FS10.41	King Country Energy	Oppose		Disallow	Accept
FS19.61	PF Olsen	Oppose		Disallow submission point	Accept
53.29	DoC	Oppose in part	ECO-P1	<p>Recognize and protect the values, characteristics or extent of significant natural areas identified in SCHED6 by <u>applying the effects management hierarchy</u>:</p> <p>1. Avoiding loss or degradation in preference to remediation or mitigation; and</p> <p>2. Remedying or mitigating any unavoidable adverse effects; and</p> <p>3. Where any adverse effects cannot be avoided, remedied or mitigated in accordance with ECO-P1.1 and P1.2, significant residual adverse effects are offset to achieve no net loss and preferably a net gain; and</p> <p>4. Where remediation, mitigation or offsetting are required, as a first priority it relates to the indigenous biodiversity that has been lost or degraded.</p> <p>5. <u>Where biodiversity offsetting or compensation is required it is in</u></p>	Accept in part

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
				<u>accordance with APP4.</u>	
FS10.63	King Country Energy	Oppose		Disallow	Reject
FS25.26	Ventus Energy	Oppose		Disallow	Reject
FS19.33	PF Olsen	Oppose		Disallow submission point	Reject
08.17	MFMNZL	Amend	ECO-P2	MFMNZL appears to be requesting ECO-P2.5 be deleted.	Reject
FS05.27	Federated Farmers	Support		Grant the relief sought	Reject
FS19.125	PF Olsen	Support		Allow submission points where there is alignment with the PF Olsen submission	Reject
FS23.33	Te Nehenehenui	Oppose		Te Nehenehenui have stated support for other submitters submission points that may be in conflict with this submission, therefore TNN oppose the points of this submission that are not aligned to our Taiao and cultural values, or those we have noted support for.	Accept
10.75	WRC	Oppose	ECO-P2.1 and P2.2	Remove clause ECO-P2.1. Or alternatively, amalgamate ECO-P2.1 and ECO-P2.2 and reword the policies to: <u>"Limited the removal of indigenous vegetation in significant natural areas, and where this is not possible only allowing removal of indigenous vegetation in sustainable quantities and in limited circumstances"</u> or words to a similar effect. Further, it is recommended that WDC provide a definition for 'sustainable quantities' and 'limited circumstances.' This is essential for achieving the purpose of the policy. We also recommend including an additional clause that promotes the enhancement of degraded SNAs.	Accept in part
FS03.24	DoC	Support		Allow	Accept in part
10.76	WRC	Amend	ECO-P2.4	Reword the policy to "Protecting the health and functionality of significant natural areas, <u>including, that are—wetlands and other habitats of indigenous fauna</u> or include part of a wetland, by avoiding inappropriate	Reject

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
				land use practices, subdivision and development.	
FS03.25	DoC	Support		Allow	Reject
33.44	King Country Energy	Oppose	ECO-P2	<p>Amend ECO-P2 as follows:</p> <p><u>While providing for those activities explicitly referenced within ECO- P2, r</u> Recognize, protect, and enhance the ecological sustainability, indigenous biodiversity values and characteristics of significant natural areas by:</p> <p>3. Avoiding indigenous vegetation clearance in locations that are of significance to mana whenua, <u>to the maximum extent practicable, while providing for the maintenance, repair and minor upgrading of existing nationally and regionally significant infrastructure;</u></p> <p>And</p> <p>Amend the planning maps so that R17028 and R17UP035 are not overlaid on any parts of the Mokauti Scheme.</p>	ECO-P2 - Accept in part R17028 and R17UP035 - Reject
FS03.69	DoC	Oppose		Disallow	ECO-P2 - Accept in part R17028 and R17UP035 - Reject
FS23.134	Te Nehenehenui	Oppose		Te Nehenehenui have stated support for other submitters submission points that may conflict with several aspects of this submission, therefore TNN oppose the points of this submission that are not aligned to our Taiao and cultural values, or those we have noted support for	ECO-P2 - Accept in part R17028 and R17UP035 - Reject
FS30.18	Transpower	Support		Allow	ECO-P2 - Accept in part R17028 and R17UP035 - Reject
43.36	Graymont (NZ) Ltd	Oppose with amendment	ECO-P2	<p>Amend ECO-P2 as follows:</p> <p>3. Ensuring Avoiding indigenous vegetation clearance in locations that are of significance to mana whenua <u>appropriately assess those effects and any resulting development is managed in a way that protects the indigenous biodiversity values of the site; and</u></p> <p>4. Protecting the health and functioning of significant natural areas that</p>	Reject

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
				<p>are wetland or include part of a wetland, by avoiding inappropriate land use practices, subdivision and development, <u>and</u></p> <p>5 Protect and enhance connectivity along and between significant natural areas and other areas of indigenous vegetation and habitat of indigenous fauna, <u>and</u></p> <p>6. <u>While providing for indigenous vegetation clearance required for the continued operation of lawfully established activities.</u></p>	
FS03.88	DoC	Oppose		Disallow	Accept
FS23.188	Te Nehenehenui	Oppose		<p>Te Nehenehenui seeks to enhance the protection and maintenance of its people and taonga within the taiao as guided by Ko Tā Maniapoto Mahere Taiao – Maniapoto’s Environmental Management Plan.</p> <p>Where submission points do not align with this, or have the potential to negatively impact on iwi, hapu, whanau cultural values, sites, and all taonga within TNN area of interest, TNN opposes submission points of this nature and requests that Waitomo District Council consider this when finalising the PDP review.</p>	Accept
47.87	Forest and Bird	Oppose	ECO-P2	<p>Delete ECO-P2 and replace with new text to read:</p> <p><u>Maintain, restore and support the improvement of indigenous biodiversity through:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <u>1. Protecting the health and functioning of significant natural areas that are or include wetland;</u> <u>2. Protecting and improving connectivity along and between significant natural areas and other areas of indigenous vegetation and habitat of indigenous fauna;</u> <u>3. Supporting and encouraging landowners to:</u> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <u>a. fence off stock from areas of indigenous vegetation; b. undertake plant and animal pest control;</u> <u>c. apply for covenants to provide permanent protection to indigenous biodiversity;</u> <u>4. The establishment of both mountain to sea corridors and north-south corridors of terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems; and</u> <u>5. The reconnection of fragmented ecosystems on land and via</u> 	Reject

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
				<u>waterways; and</u> 6. <u>The establishment of buffers around underrepresented and/or threatened indigenous ecosystems; and</u> 7. <u>The creation of ecological stepping stones or corridors to link indigenous vegetation; and</u> 8. <u>The improvement of habitat of nationally threatened or at risk indigenous species; and</u> 9. <u>The improvement or restoration of indigenous habitats adjoining wetlands, rivers, springs, karst ecosystems, coastal cliffs, dunes, estuaries and fragmented forests; and</u> 10. <u>The establishment and ongoing management of pest free areas; and</u> 11. <u>The improvement or restoration of rare ecosystems; and</u> 12. <u>The retention and enhancement of indigenous vegetation cover; and</u> 13. <u>The restoration, maintenance and improvement of natural wetland and karst hydrology; and</u> 14. <u>The avoidance of physical and legal fragmentation; and</u> 15. <u>The role of mana whenua as kaitiaki and for the practical exercise of kaitiakitanga in restoring, protecting and enhancing significant natural areas.</u> And Any consequential changes or alternative relief to achieve the relief sought.	
FS05.67	Federated Farmers	Oppose		Decline the relief sought	Accept
FS08.09	Graymont (NZ) Ltd	Oppose		Disallow	Accept
FS10.43	King Country Energy	Oppose		Disallow	Accept
FS19.62	PF Olsen	Oppose		Disallow submission point	Accept
53.32	DoC	Oppose in part	ECO-P2	Recognize, protect, and enhance the ecological sustainability, indigenous biodiversity values and characteristics of significant natural areas by: 1. Only allowing the removal of indigenous vegetation in sustainable	Reject

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
				<p>quantities within locally significant natural areas; and where the significance of the vegetation or habitat is not reduced.</p> <p>2. Only allowing the removal of indigenous vegetation in limited circumstances within internationally, nationally or regionally significant natural areas; and</p> <p><u>2. Protecting the health and functioning of Significant Natural Areas by avoiding inappropriate land use practices, subdivision and development.</u></p> <p><u>3. Avoiding indigenous vegetation clearance in locations that are of significance to mana whenua; and</u></p> <p><u>4. Protecting the health and functioning of significant natural areas that are wetland or include part of a wetland, by avoiding inappropriate land use practices, subdivision and development.</u></p> <p><u>5. Protect and enhance connectivity along and between significant natural areas and other areas of indigenous vegetation and habitat of indigenous fauna.</u></p>	
FS05.138	Federated Farmers	Oppose		Decline the relief sought	Accept
FS10.65	King Country Energy	Oppose		Disallow	Accept
FS25.28	Ventus Energy	Oppose		Disallow	Accept
FS19.36	PF Olsen	Oppose		Disallow submission point	Accept
04.13	New Zealand Agricultural Aviation Association (NZAAA)	Support in part	ECO-P3	Retain ECO-P3 and add: <u>8. weed and pest control</u>	Accept in part
FS07.13	Grant Lennox	Support		Allowed	Accept in part
FS23.09	Te Nehenehenui	Oppose		Te Nehenehenui have stated support for other submitters' submission points that may be in conflict with this submission, therefore TNN oppose the points of this submission that are not aligned to our Taiao and cultural values, or those we have noted support for.	Accept in part

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
08.18	MFMNZL	Support	ECO-P3	Retain policy as notified.	Reject
FS19.126	PF Olsen	Support		Allow submission points where there is alignment with the PF Olsen submission	Reject
09.27	Chorus New Zealand Limited, Connexa Limited, Spark New Zealand Trading Limited and Vodafone New Zealand Limited	Oppose in part	ECO-P3	Amend Policy ECO-P3 by adding the following additional matter to the list of activities covered by the policy: <u>10. Limited indigenous vegetation removal to establish, operate, maintain and remove network utilities.</u>	Accept in part
FS03.09	DoC	Oppose in part		Disallow	Accept in part
10.77	WRC	Oppose	ECO-P3.3	Review and update the provisions for indigenous vegetation clearance in SNAs to achieve better alignment with WRPS APP11 – Development Principles (k), as well as Policy 3.2.1 and Rule 16.2.1 of the Waikato Regional Coastal Plan.	Reject
FS03.26	DoC	Support		Allow	Reject
10.78	WRC	Amend	ECO-P3.5	Reword the policy to “Sustainable harvesting of indigenous vegetation and/or removal of manuka or kanuka where the indigenous biodiversity values and ecological characteristics of the significant natural are a <u>are maintained or enhanced; and in limited circumstances;</u> and” Provide a definition for ‘limited circumstances’ and for ‘sustainable harvesting.’	Accept in part
10.79	WRC	Amend	ECO-P3.6 and ECO-P3.7	Reword the policy to: 6. Limited indigenous vegetation removal to <u>The management of fire risk in limited circumstances;</u> and 7. Limited indigenous vegetation removal <u>The operation of for small scale renewable energy generation in limited circumstances.</u> As stated previously, WRC recommends that a definition for ‘limited circumstances’ is provided.	Accept in part

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
10.80	WRC	Oppose	ECO-P3(i)	Reword the policy to clarify that indigenous vegetation removal is only allowable in very limited and specific circumstances.	Accept in part
10.81	WRC	Oppose	ECO-P3(iv)	Reword this clause to: “(iv) <u>The proposed activity addresses an unacceptable risk to public health and safety.</u> ” Consideration is given to the positive benefits of the activity in respect of people’s health and wellbeing.	Accept in part
FS03.27	DoC	Support		Allow	Accept in part
12.13	Heli A1 Limited	Support in part	ECO-P3	Retain ECO-P3 and add: <u>8. weed and pest control</u>	Accept in part
FS13.35	NZAAA	Support		Retain and amend the policy as sought	Accept in part
17.67	Waka Kotahi	Support	ECO-P3.4	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
18.04	Auckland Waikato Fish and Game (AWFG)	Support	ECO-P3	Retain as notified.	Reject
FS26.07	WRC	Support with amendments		Review and update provision to be in alignment with the NPSFM, NES-F, and NPS-IB.	Accept in part
FS19.105	PF Olsen	Support		Allow submission points	Reject
27.39	Horticulture New Zealand (Hort NZ)	Support with amendment	ECO-P3	Amend ECO-P3 as follows: <u>8. Removal of material infected by unwanted organisms under the Biosecurity Act 1993.</u>	Accept in part
33.45	King Country Energy	Support with amendment	ECO-P3	Amend ECO-P3 as follows: Provide for permitted activities and for the continued operation, <u>repair, maintenance and upgrading</u> of lawfully established activities in and adjacent to significant natural areas by enabling the removal of indigenous vegetation for: <u>7. Indigenous vegetation removal for nationally or regionally</u>	Reject

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
				<p>significant infrastructure,</p> <p>8. Limited Indigenous vegetation removal for small-scale renewable energy electricity generation.</p>	
FS03.70	DoC	Oppose		Disallow	Accept
FS23.135	Te Nehenehenui	Oppose		Te Nehenehenui have stated support for other submitters submission points that may conflict with several aspects of this submission, therefore TNN oppose the points of this submission that are not aligned to our Taiao and cultural values, or those we have noted support for	Accept
FS30.19	Transpower	Support		Allow	Reject
43.37	Graymont (NZ) Ltd	Support with amendment	ECO-P3	<p>Amend ECO-P3 as follows:</p> <p>Provide for permitted activities and for the continued operation, <u>maintenance, repair and minor upgrading</u> of lawfully established activities in and adjacent to significant natural areas by enabling the removal of indigenous vegetation for:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The relocation, maintenance or construction of <u>all</u> fence lines, <u>including fence lines</u> for stock exclusion; and 2. Conservation activities; and 3. Construction of permitted building platforms including services and access; and 4. Maintenance <u>or minor upgrading</u> of existing roads, driveways, tracks and water intake/discharge structures; and 5. <u>For maintenance of culverts and bridges; and</u> 6. <u>For maintenance associated with stormwater detention and treatment facilities; and</u> 7. <u>As part of maintenance or minor upgrading of existing drains; and</u> 8. Sustainable harvesting of indigenous vegetation and/or removal of manuka or kanuka where the indigenous biodiversity values and ecological characteristics of the significant natural area are maintained or enhanced; and 9. Limited indigenous vegetation removal to manage fire risk; and 	Reject

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
				10. Limited indigenous vegetation removal for small scale renewable energy generation, <u>and</u> <u>Providing for nationally and regionally significant infrastructure and industry, and for those activities associated with significant mineral resources.</u>	
FS03.89	DoC	Oppose		Disallow	Accept
FS23.189	Te Nehenehenui	Oppose		Te Nehenehenui seeks to enhance the protection and maintenance of its people and taonga within the taiao as guided by Ko Tā Maniapoto Mahere Taiao – Maniapoto’s Environmental Management Plan. Where submission points do not align with this, or have the potential to negatively impact on iwi, hapu, whanau cultural values, sites, and all taonga within TNN area of interest, TNN opposes submission points of this nature and requests that Waitomo District Council consider this when finalising the PDP review.	Accept
47.88	Forest and Bird	Oppose	ECO-P3	Delete ECO-P3. And Any consequential changes or alternative relief to achieve the relief sought.	Reject
FS05.68	Federated Farmers	Oppose		Decline the relief sought	Accept
FS10.44	King Country Energy	Oppose		Disallow	Accept
51.36	KiwiRail Holdings Ltd	Seek amendment	ECO-P3	Amend as follows: ECO-P3. Provide for permitted activities and for the continued operation of lawfully established activities in and adjacent to significant natural areas by enabling the removal of indigenous vegetation for: The relocation, maintenance or construction of fence lines for stock exclusion; and Conservation activities; and Construction of permitted building platforms including services and access; and Maintenance of existing roads, <u>railways</u> , driveways, tracks and water intake/discharge structures; and	Accept in part

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
53.35	DoC	Support in part	ECO-P3	<p>Amend with the following or with wording to like effect:</p> <p>ECO-P3. Provide for permitted activities and for the continued operation of lawfully established activities in and adjacent to significant natural areas by enabling the removal of indigenous vegetation for:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The relocation, maintenance or construction of fence lines for stock exclusion; and 2. Conservation activities; and 3. Construction of permitted building platforms including services and access; and 4. Maintenance of existing roads, driveways, tracks and water intake/discharge structures; and 5. Sustainable harvesting of indigenous vegetation and/or removal of manuka or kanuka where the indigenous biodiversity values; and ecological characteristics of the significant natural area are maintained or enhanced; and 6. Limited indigenous vegetation removal to manage fire risk; and 7. Limited indigenous vegetation removal for small scale renewable energy generation. <p>For all of these activities, the removal of indigenous vegetation is only enabled where:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (i) The adverse effects on indigenous biodiversity values and connectivity are appropriately avoided, remedied or mitigated; and (ii) Any existing cleared areas on a site that are suitable to accommodate subdivision or new development are used in the first instance; and <p>Any practicable alternative locations that would reduce the need for indigenous vegetation removal are used in the first instance. and</p> <p>(iv) Consideration is given to the positive benefits of the activity in respect of people's health and wellbeing</p>	Accept
FS10.66	King Country Energy	Oppose		Disallow	Reject

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
FS25.30	Ventus Energy	Oppose		Disallow	Reject
FS19.39	PF Olsen	Oppose		Disallow submission point	Reject
53.39	DoC	Oppose in part	ECO-P3 ECO-R13	<p>Amend objectives, policies and rules as appropriate to recognize and implement measures to address and manage the increased threat status of myrtle rust for manuka and kanuka.</p> <p>Any other similar, alternative, additional, or consequential relief which will address the matters outlined above.</p> <p>In regard ECO-R13 I seek the following or similar relief to like effect: ECO-R13 Removal of Manuka or Kanuka on a sustainable basis Activity Status: <i>PER</i></p> <p>Where:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The removal of manuka or kanuka is no more than <u>250m²</u>, or 1% of the SNA whichever is the lesser, per holding per calendar year, <u>or 250m² over any 5-year period</u>; and 2. The area from which manuka or kanuka is removed shall be replanted within 6 months or allowed to regenerate; and 3. No removal of manuka or kanuka occurs within <u>5-10 m</u> of a water body. 4. <u>No removal of manuka or kanuka occurs within a bat protection area</u> 5. <u>The removal of manuka or kanuka will not adversely affect any at-risk or threatened indigenous fauna.</u> <p>Note: For setbacks from natural wetlands see the Resource Management (National Environmental Standards for Freshwater) Regulations 2020.</p>	ECO-P3 – Accept in part ECO-R13 – Reject
FS05.142	Federated Farmers	Oppose		Decline the relief sought	ECO-P3 – Accept in part ECO-R13 – Reject
FS23.259	Te Nehenehenui	Support in part		Include consideration given to the effects on iwi and mana whenua cultural values	ECO-P3 – Accept in part ECO-R13 – Reject
10.84	WRC	Oppose	ECO-P4 and	Amend ECO-R16 to classify large scale clearances as non-complying.	Reject

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
			ECO- R16	As previously expressed, WRC also recommends providing a definition for 'limited circumstances.' WRC also recommends providing a definition for 'larger scale.'	
FS03.30	DoC	Support		Allow	Reject
FS08.20	Graymont (NZ) Ltd	Oppose		Disallow	Accept
33.46	King Country Energy	Support	ECO-P4	Retain ECO-P4 as notified.	Reject
43.38	Graymont (NZ) Ltd	Support	ECO-P4	Retain ECO-P4.	Reject
47.89	Forest and Bird	Oppose	ECO-P4	Delete ECO-P4. And Any consequential changes or alternative relief to achieve the relief sought.	Accept
FS05.69	Federated Farmers	Oppose		Decline the relief sought	Reject
FS10.45	King Country Energy	Oppose		Disallow	Reject
53.36	DoC	Oppose in part	ECO-P4	Amend with the following or words to like effect: In limited circumstances, provide for the unavoidable removal of indigenous vegetation for larger scale Activities sequentially exhaust each step of the effects management hierarchy, any residual adverse effects on the indigenous biodiversity values and ecological characteristics of the significant natural area are offset in accordance with the framework in Appendix 4 Biodiversity Offsetting. only where the ensuing operations remedy or mitigate adverse effects in the first instance or if this is not practicable, offset residual adverse effects on the indigenous biodiversity values and ecological characteristics of the significant natural area by: 1. Providing a biodiversity offset that is consistent with the framework detailed in Appendix 4 Biodiversity Offsetting Framework; and Ensuring the biodiversity offset is as close as practicable to the affected significant natural area and achieves no net loss of indigenous biodiversity at a regional scale.	Reject

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
FS10.67	King Country Energy	Oppose		Disallow	Accept
FS25.31	Ventus Energy	Oppose		Disallow	Accept
FS19.40	PF Olsen	Oppose		Disallow submission point	Accept
53.37	DoC	Support in part	ECO-P5	<p>Amend with the following or words to like effect:</p> <p>Where the limited circumstances of unavoidable removal of indigenous vegetation, or habitats of indigenous fauna, or disturbance of wetland areas are being considered (including situations provided for in ECO-P4), regard must be given to the following matters:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Whether the area contains activity avoids adverse effects on nationally significant examples of indigenous community types and indigenous ecosystems and/or vegetation types that are threatened in the coastal environment, or are naturally rare; 2. Effects on the required range of habitats, including roosting, nesting, foraging and migratory pathways of fauna; and 3. Effects on the habitats of threatened and at-risk species including migratory pathways; and 4. Effects on the maintenance of ecological corridors, processes and sequences; and 5. Whether sensitive sites remain buffered from intensive land use, development and subdivision; and 6. The outcome of consultation where indigenous vegetation clearance is proposed in locations that are of significance to mana whenua; and 7. Effects on natural waterway and wetland habitats and hydrology; and 8. The legal and physical protection of existing habitat; and 9. Whether consideration has been given to opportunities that contribute to no net loss of indigenous biodiversity at a regional scale; and 10. Whether any practicable alternative locations that would reduce the need for removal of indigenous vegetation or habitats of indigenous fauna or disturbance of wetland areas, are used in the first instance. 	Reject

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
FS10.68	King Country Energy	Oppose		Disallow	Accept
FS25.32	Ventus Energy	Oppose		Disallow	Accept
FS19.41	PF Olsen	Oppose		Disallow submission point	Accept
FS23.258	Te Nehenehenui	Support in part		Include consideration given to the effects on iwi and mana whenua cultural values	Reject
10.82	WRC	Amend	ECO-P5	Add the following clause: "11. <u>Whether the area contains indigenous ecosystems or native fauna habitat that are threatened by climate change factors, such as sea level rise, fire or pathogen diseases.</u> "	Reject
FS03.28	DoC	Support		Allow	Reject
10.83	WRC	Amend	ECO-P5.1	Reword this clause to: 1. Whether the area contains nationally significant examples of indigenous community types and indigenous ecosystems and/or vegetation types that are threatened in the coastal environment , or are naturally rare; and As previously expressed, WRC recommends that a definition for 'limited circumstances' is provided.	Accept
FS03.29	DoC	Support		Allow	Accept
33.47	King Country Energy	Support with amendment	ECO-P5	Add a new clause to ECO-P5 as follows: <u>11. Whether the activity is required for the continued operation, repair, maintenance and upgrading of nationally or regionally significant infrastructure.</u>	Reject
FS03.71	DoC	Oppose		Disallow	Accept

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
FS23.136	Te Nehenehenui	Oppose		Te Nehenehenui have stated support for other submitters submission points that may conflict with several aspects of this submission, therefore TNN oppose the points of this submission that are not aligned to our Taiao and cultural values, or those we have noted support for	Accept
43.39	Graymont (NZ) Ltd	Support with amendment	ECO-P5	Add a new clause to ECO-P5 as follows: <u>11. Whether the activity is required for the continued operation, repair, maintenance and minor upgrading of nationally or regionally significant industry, infrastructure, or is associated with significant mineral resources.</u>	Reject
FS03.90	DoC	Oppose		Disallow	Accept
FS10.17	King Country Energy	Support		Allow	Reject
FS26.08	WRC	Oppose		This submission point is not adopted.	Accept
FS17.07	NZ Speleological Society	Oppose		Disallow	Accept
FS23.190	Te Nehenehenui	Oppose		Te Nehenehenui seeks to enhance the protection and maintenance of its people and taonga within the taiao as guided by Ko Tā Maniapoto Mahere Taiao – Maniapoto’s Environmental Management Plan. Where submission points do not align with this, or have the potential to negatively impact on iwi, hapu, whanau cultural values, sites, and all taonga within TNN area of interest, TNN opposes submission points of this nature and requests that Waitomo District Council consider this when finalising the PDP review.	Accept
47.90	Forest and Bird	Support with amendment	ECO-P5	Amend ECO-P5 as follows: Where the limited circumstances of unavoidable removal of activities that may adversely affect indigenous vegetation, or habitats of indigenous fauna, or disturbance of wetland areas are being considered (including situations provided for in ECO- P4), in addition to any other considerations, have regard must be given to the following matters: 1. Whether the area contains nationally significant examples of	Accept in part

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
				<p>indigenous community types and indigenous ecosystems and/or vegetation types that are threatened in the coastal environment, or are naturally rare; and</p> <p>2. Effects on the required range of habitats, including roosting, nesting, foraging and migratory pathways of fauna; and</p> <p>9. Whether consideration has been given to opportunities that contribute to no net loss of indigenous biodiversity at a regional scale; and</p> <p>10. Whether any there are practicable alternative locations for the activity that would avoid or reduce the need for removal of adverse effects on indigenous vegetation or habitats of indigenous fauna or disturbance of wetland areas, are used in the first instance; and</p> <p><u>10. Whether the area contains indigenous ecosystems or indigenous fauna habitat that are threatened by climate change factors, such as sea level rise, drought, fire or pathogens.</u></p> <p>And</p> <p>Any consequential changes or alternative relief to achieve the relief sought.</p>	
FS05.70	Federated Farmers	Oppose		Decline the relief sought	Accept in part
FS08.10	Graymont (NZ) Ltd	Oppose		Disallow	Accept in part
FS10.46	King Country Energy	Oppose		Disallow	Accept in part
FS19.63	PF Olsen	Oppose		Disallow submission point	Accept in part
47.91	Forest and Bird	Oppose with amendment	ECO-P6	<p>Delete ECO-P6. And</p> <p>Incorporate clauses 1 to 13 of ECO-P6 into new Policy ECO-PX as set out elsewhere in the submission.</p> <p>And</p> <p>Any consequential changes or alternative relief to achieve the relief sought.</p>	Reject
FS05.71	Federated Farmers	Oppose		Decline the relief sought	Accept

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
FS10.47	King Country Energy	Oppose		Disallow	Accept
38.66	TTRMC	Support	ECO-P6.13	Retain ECO-P6.13 as notified.	Accept
FS20.95	Sheryl Paekau	Support		I seek that the whole of all submissions provided by Te Kohanganui Whare be allowed and to take into account my support in part when applied to limiting numbers of dwellings on Maaori land.	Accept
53.38	DoC	Amend	ECO-P6	<p>Where considering any application for activities in a significant natural area, or any activity that will adversely affect indigenous biodiversity <u>generally, protect—promote</u> the long-term ecological functioning and indigenous biodiversity value of significant natural areas by encouraging:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Landowners to manage the adverse effects of stock grazing or plant and animal pests through fencing and/or voluntary covenants; and 2. The establishment of both mountain to sea corridors and north- south corridors of terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems; and 3. The reconnection of fragmented ecosystems on land and via waterways; and 4. The establishment of buffers around underrepresented and/or threatened indigenous ecosystems; and 5. The creation of ecological stepping stones or corridors to link indigenous vegetation; and 6. The enhancement of habitat of nationally threatened or at risk indigenous species; and 7. The enhancement or restoration of indigenous habitats adjoining wetlands, rivers, springs, karst ecosystems, coastal cliffs, dunes, estuaries and fragmented forests; and 8. The establishment and on-going management of pest free areas; and 9. The enhancement or restoration of rare ecosystems; and 10. The retention and enhancement of indigenous vegetation cover; and 11. The restoration, maintenance and enhancement of natural wetland and karst hydrology; and 	Reject

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
				<p>12. The avoidance of physical and legal fragmentation; and</p> <p>13. The role of mana whenua as kaitiaki and for the practical exercise of kaitiakitanga in restoring, protecting and enhancing significant natural areas.</p>	
FS05.141	Federated Farmers	Oppose		Decline the relief sought	Accept
FS19.42	PF Olsen	Oppose		Disallow submission point	Accept
38.67	TTRMC	Support	ECO-P7	Retain ECO-P7 as notified.	Accept
FS20.96	Sheryl Paekau	Support		I seek that the whole of all submissions provided by Te Kohanganui Whare be allowed and to take into account my support in part when applied to limiting numbers of dwellings on Maaori land.	Accept
47.92	Forest and Bird	Oppose with amendment	ECO-P7	<p>Delete ECO-P7(4). And</p> <p>Any consequential changes or alternative relief to achieve the relief sought.</p>	Reject
FS10.48	King Country Energy	Oppose		Disallow	Accept
47.93	Forest and Bird	Oppose with amendment	ECO-P8	<p>Amend ECO-P8 as follows:</p> <p>Provide Control indigenous vegetation clearance for the purpose of forestry or woodlots and only consider providing for continuous cover forestry and/or sustainable forest management/sustainable harvesting only where it is outside significant natural areas and the indigenous biodiversity values and ecological characteristics of the area are maintained or enhanced. Avoid this activity where the site is in a significant natural area and set new activities back at least 500m from significant natural areas vegetation or habitat that is currently a naturally uncommon or significantly underrepresented ecosystem or habitat for indigenous species or has associations of indigenous species that are classified as threatened or at risk, endemic to the Waikato region or at the limit of their natural range.</p> <p>And</p> <p>Any consequential changes or alternative relief to achieve the relief sought.</p>	Reject
FS05.72	Federated Farmers	Oppose		Decline the relief sought	Accept

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
FS12.03	MFMNZL	Oppose		Reject submission and retain ECO-P8 as WDC drafted	Accept
FS19.64	PF Olsen	Oppose		Disallow submission point	Accept
53.40	DoC	Support	ECO-P8	Retain as notified.	Accept
08.19	MFMNZL	Oppose	ECO-P9	Delete ECO-P9 to align with NESPF.	Reject
FS19.127	PF Olsen	Support		Allow submission points where there is alignment with the PF Olsen submission	Reject
FS23.34	Te Nehenehenui	Oppose		Te Nehenehenui have stated support for other submitters submission points that may be in conflict with this submission, therefore TNN oppose the points of this submission that are not aligned to our Taiao and cultural values, or those we have noted support for.	Accept
19.13	PF Olsen	Oppose	ECO-P9	Amend ECO-P9 to apply to all primary production activities. Or Delete ECO-P9.	Reject
FS05.40	Federated Farmers	Oppose		Decline the relief sought	Accept
FS23.68	Te Nehenehenui	Oppose		Te Nehenehenui seeks to enhance the protection and maintenance of its people and taonga within the taiao as guided by Ko Tā Maniapoto Mahere Taiao – Maniapoto’s Environmental Management Plan. Where submission points do not align with this, or have the potential to negatively impact on iwi, hapu, whanau cultural values, sites, the taiao and all taonga within TNN area of interest, TNN opposes and requests that Waitomo District Council consider this when finalising the review.	Accept
30.13	New Zealand Forest Managers (NZFM)	Oppose with amendment	ECO-P9	Amend or Delete Policy ECO-P9 in conjunction with rule ECO-R17.	Reject
FS19.13	PF Olsen	Support		Allow submission point	Reject

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
FS23.107	Te Nehenehenui	Not stated		Oppose and support in part where the submission points align to the submissions supported by TNN	Accept
53.41	DoC	Support	ECO-P9	Retain as notified.	Accept
47.94	Forest and Bird	Support with amendment	ECO-P9	<p>Amend ECO-P9 as follows:</p> <p>Avoid plantation forestry afforestation and harvesting in significant natural areas <u>and set back new plantation forest 1km from Significant natural areas listed in Schedule 6 or otherwise able to be reasonably identified.</u></p> <p>And</p> <p>Any consequential changes or alternative relief to achieve the relief sought.</p>	Reject
FS05.73	Federated Farmers	Oppose		Decline the relief sought	Accept
FS12.04	MFMNZL	Oppose		Reject proposed changes to policy P9.	Accept
FS19.65	PF Olsen	Oppose		Disallow submission point	Accept
47.95	Forest and Bird	Support	ECO-P10	Retain ECO-P10 as notified.	Accept
47.96	Forest and Bird	Support with amendment	ECO-P11	<p>Amend to include all clauses from Policy 11(a) of the NZCPS under clause 1 and all clauses from Policy 11(b) of the NZCPS under clause 2.</p> <p>And</p> <p>Amend ECO-P11(3) as follows:</p> <p>3. Maintaining <u>and improving or enhancing</u>:</p> <p>(i) ...</p> <p>(ii) <u>Inanga</u>/Whitebait spawning areas;</p> <p>And</p> <p>Amend ECO-P11(5) as follows:</p> <p>"Recognizing the potential effects of sea level rise <u>and other impacts of climate change including increasingly severe storms</u> in the consideration of any resource consent application by ensuring sufficient coastal habitat inland</p>	Reject

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
				<p>migration opportunities are retained.”</p> <p>And</p> <p>Retain other aspects of Policy ECO-P11.</p> <p>And</p> <p>Any consequential changes or alternative relief to achieve the relief sought.</p>	
53.42	DoC	Oppose in part	Indigenous Biodiversity in the coastal environment ECO-P11	<p>ECO-P11. Protect indigenous biodiversity, including significant natural areas, located in the coastal environment overlay by:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Avoiding adverse effects on: avoid adverse effects of activities on: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (i) <u>indigenous taxa that are listed as threatened or at risk in the New Zealand Threat Classification System lists;</u> (ii) <u>taxa that are listed by the International Union for Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources as threatened;</u> (iii) <u>indigenous ecosystems and vegetation types that are threatened in the coastal environment, or are naturally rare;</u> (iv) <u>habitats of indigenous species where the species are at the limit of their natural range, or are naturally rare;</u> (v) <u>areas containing nationally significant examples of indigenous community types; and</u> (vi) <u>areas set aside for full or partial protection of indigenous biological diversity under other legislation;</u> 2. Avoiding significant adverse effects and avoiding, remedying or mitigating the other adverse effects of activities on: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (i) Areas of predominately indigenous vegetation in the coastal environment; and (ii) Habitats in the coastal environment that are important during the vulnerable life stages of indigenous species; and (iii) Indigenous habitats and ecosystems that are unique to the coastal environment and vulnerable to modification and the impacts of climate change, including estuaries, lagoons, coastal wetlands, dune lands and dune lakes, intertidal zones, rocky reef systems, seagrass and saltmarsh; and (iv) Habitats of indigenous species that are important for 	Reject

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
				<p>recreational, commercial, traditional or cultural purposes; and</p> <p>(v) Ecological corridors, areas and routes important to indigenous and migratory species;</p> <p>3. Maintaining or enhancing:</p> <p>(i) The habitats of wading/coastal birds including breeding, feeding, roosting sites; and</p> <p>(ii) Whitebait spawning areas</p> <p>4. Recognizing that adverse effects on indigenous biodiversity within the coastal environment are cumulative and controlling these adverse effects to protect and enhance indigenous biodiversity; and</p> <p>5. Recognizing the potential effects of sea level rise in the consideration of any resource consent application by ensuring sufficient coastal habitat inland migration opportunities are retained.</p>	
FS19.43	PF Olsen	Oppose		Disallow submission point	Accept
FS23.260	Te Nehenehenui	Support in part		Include consideration given to the effects on iwi and mana whenua cultural values and/ or in regard to customary activities	Reject
04.14	NZAAA	Support	ECO-P12	Retain the policy as notified.	Accept
FS07.14	Grant Lennox	Support		Allowed	Accept
08.20	MFMNZL	Support	ECO-P12	Retain policy as notified.	Accept
FS19.128	PF Olsen	Support		Allow submission points where there is alignment with the PF Olsen submission	Accept
12.14	Heli A1 Limited	Support	ECO-P12	Retain the policy.	Accept
FS13.36	New Zealand Agricultural Aviation Association (NZAAA)	Support		Retain the policy as sought	Accept

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
27.40	Hort NZ	Support with amendment	ECO-P12	Amend ECO-P12 as follows: <u>Including, removal of material infected by unwanted organisms under the Biosecurity Act 1993.</u>	Reject
47.97	Forest and Bird	Support with amendment	ECO-P12	Amend ECO-P12 as follows: Outside of significant natural areas, enable <u>provide for</u> activities that maintain or enhance and improve indigenous biodiversity including planting of indigenous species, removal or management of pest plant and animal species and other biosecurity works. And Any consequential changes or alternative relief to achieve the relief sought.	Reject
FS05.74	Federated Farmers	Oppose		Decline the relief sought	Accept
33.48	King Country Energy	Support with amendment	ECO-P13	Add a new clause to ECO-P13 as follows: <u>8. The need for the activity to provide for nationally or regionally significant infrastructure or for renewable electricity generation.</u>	Reject
FS03.72	DoC	Oppose		Disallow	Accept
FS23.137	Te Nehenehenui	Oppose		Te Nehenehenui have stated support for other submitters submission points that may conflict with several aspects of this submission, therefore TNN oppose the points of this submission that are not aligned to our Taiao and cultural values, or those we have noted support for	Accept
FS30.20	Transpower	Support		Allow	Reject
43.40	Graymont (NZ) Ltd	Support with amendment	ECO-P13	Add a new clause to ECO-P13 as follows: <u>8. The need for the activity to provide for nationally or regionally significant industry, infrastructure or activities associated with significant mineral resources.</u>	This matter will be addressed in the natural features and landscapes chapter
FS03.91	DoC	Oppose		Disallow	This matter will be addressed in the natural features and landscapes

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
					chapter
FS10.18	King Country Energy	Support		Allow	This matter will be addressed in the natural features and landscapes chapter
FS17.08	NZ Speleological Society	Oppose		Disallow	This matter will be addressed in the natural features and landscapes chapter
FS22.03	Chorus New Zealand Limited, Connexa Limited, Spark New Zealand Trading Limited and Vodafone New Zealand Limited	Oppose		Disallow the submission	This matter will be addressed in the natural features and landscapes chapter
FS23.191	Te Nehenehenui	Oppose		<p>Te Nehenehenui seeks to enhance the protection and maintenance of its people and taonga within the taiao as guided by Ko Tā Maniapoto Mahere Taiao – Maniapoto’s Environmental Management Plan.</p> <p>Where submission points do not align with this, or have the potential to negatively impact on iwi, hapu, whanau cultural values, sites, and all taonga within TNN area of interest, TNN opposes submission points of this nature and requests that Waitomo District Council consider this when finalising the PDP review.</p>	This matter will be addressed in the natural features and landscapes chapter
47.98	Forest and Bird	Support with amendment	ECO-P13	<p>Amend ECO-P13 as follows:</p> <p>When <u>considering</u> removal of indigenous vegetation, or <u>adverse effects on</u> habitats of indigenous fauna, or disturbance of wetland areas occurs outside of significant natural areas, ensure the following matters are considered when avoiding, remedying or mitigating adverse effects on indigenous biodiversity: ...</p> <p>5. The <u>retention and</u> maintenance of buffers <u>for protection</u> around underrepresented or naturally uncommon indigenous ecosystems; and ...</p>	Accept in part

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
				<p>7. The maintenance <u>and protection</u> of habitat of nationally threatened or at risk indigenous species, <u>recognizing that exotic vegetation or pasture may provide significant habitat for breed, roosting, nesting and/or feeding.</u></p> <p>And</p> <p>Any consequential changes or alternative relief to achieve the relief sought.</p>	
FS05.75	Federated Farmers	Oppose		Decline the relief sought	Accept in part
FS10.49	King Country Energy	Support in part		Disallowed	Accept in part
FS19.66	PF Olsen	Oppose and support		Disallow amendment 1 and 2 and allow amendment 3 in the submission points	Accept in part
53.43	DoC	Oppose in part	ECO-P13	<p>Amend ECO-P13 with wording that fully addresses the maintenance and enhancement of indigenous vegetation and habitats of indigenous fauna that do not meet the significance criteria.</p> <p>Matters that should be set out in the policy are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - the ongoing assessment of the current state of indigenous biodiversity within the District; <p>not only limiting vegetation clearance within sensitive areas but also within areas of indigenous vegetation that contain threatened, at risk species, or species at the reach of their national or regional distribution limits in the District or are naturally uncommon ecosystems; and providing support and enabling the use of non-regulatory mechanisms to maintain and enhance indigenous biodiversity.</p>	Accept in part
FS19.44	PF Olsen	Oppose		Disallow submission point	Accept in part
47.99	Forest and Bird	Amend	ECO rules General Comment	<p>Amend the rules in the Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity to address the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Consider reformatting the framework used for rules so that it is clear what s9 activities the rule is applying to. • Make it clearer what the full scope of each rule is. • Delete the statement in the first row of Table 1 that "It does not apply 	Reject

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
				<p>to roads.”</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Add a table that sets out rules applying to activities outside of SNAs. • Add or Amend rules to ensure that all subdivision includes the requirement for an assessment against the significance criteria of the RPS to determine any significant natural areas in the area to be subdivided. If SNAs are present then ensure they are retained within one title, that they are given protection through covenant and that sufficient land outside the SNA is retained within the title to provide for a building platform and access without disturbing the SNA. • Add the colouring required by the planning standards to different rule activity status. <p>And</p> <p>Any consequential changes or alternative relief to achieve the relief sought.</p>	
FS03.116	DoC	Support		Allow	Reject
FS05.76	Federated Farmers	Oppose		Decline the relief sought	Accept
FS12.05	MFMNZL	Oppose		Reject proposed change and retain the approach that the rules in Table 1 apply to mapped SNA only	Accept
47.101	Forest and Bird	Oppose with amendment	Table 1	<p>Amend table 1 in the Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity chapter so that it clearly applies to activities within Significant Natural Areas. That this includes both areas identified in Schedule 6 and any area that meets the significance criteria set out in Appendix 5 of the WRPS unless specifically stated otherwise in a rule.</p> <p>And</p> <p>Any consequential changes or alternative relief to achieve the relief sought.</p>	Reject
FS05.77	Federated Farmers	Oppose		Decline the relief sought	Accept
FS19.68	PF Olsen	Oppose		Disallow submission point	Accept

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
10.90	WRC	Amend	ECO - Table 1 – Activities rules	<p>WRC recommends adding a new rule regulating the removal of vegetation outside of SNAs in the ECO chapter.</p> <p><u>All Zones Activity status: PER Where vegetation disturbance, including indigenous vegetation, is necessary for:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>Conservation activities;</u> <u>Customary activities;</u> <u>the operation, maintenance or repair of existing pasture, fences, drains, structures, including existing roads or tracks (including walking or cycling t racks);</u> <u>The operation, maintenance, repair or upgrading of existing network utilities;</u> <u>for flood protection and erosion control purposes;</u> <u>the purposes of emergency response by Fire and Emergency New Zealand;</u> <u>compliance with the Waitomo District Council Reserve Management Plan; or</u> <u>the avoidance or loss of life, injury or serious damage to property.</u> <p><u>Where the clearance is not for the purpose of those activities identified in ECO-R11 and ECO-R12 and the extent of indigenous vegetation disturbed and/or cleared per site does not exceed an area of 500m2 in area in any five-year period.</u></p> <p><u>Activity status where compliance not achieved: DI S</u></p>	Reject
FS03.34	DoC	Support in part		Allow in part	Reject
33.53	King Country Energy	Support with amendment	ECO-R1	<p>Amend ECO-R1 to:</p> <p>To provide a link to guidance on where to determine the areas of those SNA's located within the Waitomo District,</p> <p>Or</p> <p>Amend the Proposed District Plan to provide detail of the area size of each SNA within Schedule 6.</p>	Accept in part

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
FS23.139	Te Nehenehenui	Oppose		Te Nehenehenui have stated support for other submitters submission points that may conflict with several aspects of this submission, therefore TNN oppose the points of this submission that are not aligned to our Taiao and cultural values, or those we have noted support for	Accept in part
43.45	Graymont (NZ) Ltd	Support with amendment	ECO-R1	Amend ECO-R1: To provide a link to guidance on where to determine the areas of those SNA's located within the Waitomo District, Or That the proposed plan provides detail of the area size of each SNA within Schedule 6.	Accept in part
47.100	Forest and Bird	Oppose	ECO-R1	Delete ECO-R1. And Any consequential changes or alternative relief to achieve the relief sought.	Reject
FS19.67	PF Olsen	Oppose		Disallow submission point	Accept
53.45	DoC	Support in part	ECO-R1-R10	Amend ECO – Table 1 – Activities rules as follows or with words to like effect: ECO-R3 - To remove, dead or damaged indigenous vegetation or indigenous vegetation presenting an imminent danger to human life ECO R4 – ECO R4. In the general rural, natural open space, open space and rural lifestyle zones to maintain, relocate or construct perimeter fences for stock exclusion. ECO R6 – In the event of a track being destroyed by flooding or landslip or other natural hazard and there are no alternative options to obtain access to undertake existing farming activities, plantation forestry activities or to access an existing residential unit ECO-R7 - In all zones to manage fire risk ECO R9 – For pest management activities as identified in the Waikato Regional Pest Management Plan. Activity Status: PER Where: 1. From 20 October 2022 any clearance must be no more than 2100 m2,	ECO-R3 Reject ECO-R4 Reject ECO-R6 Reject ECO-R7 Accept in part ECO-R9 Reject Rule 1 Accept in part

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
				<p>or 1% of the SNA, whichever is the lesser, per holding per calendar year, <u>or 500 m2 over any 5 year period</u>; or in total cumulatively per holding OR less than 1% of the SNA size at any one time or in total cumulatively per holding – whichever is the lesser.</p> <p><u>Any clearance must be more than 10m from a waterbody</u></p>	
FS05.144	Federated Farmers	Oppose		Decline the relief sought	Accept in part
FS10.69	King Country Energy	Oppose		Disallow	Accept in part
FS25.33	Ventus Energy	Oppose		Disallow	Accept in part
FS19.46	PF Olsen	Oppose		Disallow submission point	Accept in part
47.111	Forest and Bird	Oppose with amendment	Activity Status	<p>Delete the conditions set out under rules ECO-R1 to ECO-R10 that applies an area limit for clearance.</p> <p>And</p> <p>Any consequential changes or alternative relief to achieve the relief sought.</p>	Reject
FS05.86	Federated Farmers	Oppose		Decline the relief sought	Accept
11.01	Queen Elizabeth the Second National Trust (QEII Trust)	Delete / amend	ECO-R2	<p>Trimming, pruning or removal of indigenous vegetation is permitted where undertaken in the following circumstances:</p> <p>.... In accordance with the terms of a Queen Elizabeth II Covenant, Ngā Whenua Rāhui Kawenata, Heritage Protection Order or covenant under the Reserves Act 1977 or Conservation Act 1987 or other relevant order, covenant, consent notice or encumbrance OR</p> <p>Trimming, pruning or removal of indigenous vegetation is permitted where undertaken in the following circumstances:</p> <p>.... In accordance with the terms of a Queen Elizabeth II Covenant, Ngā Whenua Rāhui Kawenata, Heritage Protection Order or covenant under the Reserves Act 1977 or Conservation Act 1987 or other relevant order, covenant, consent notice or encumbrance; <u>AND where the works are for the purpose of conservation activities.</u></p>	Accept

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
FS05.34	Federated Farmers	Oppose		Decline the relief sought	Reject
FS23.54	Te Nehenehenui	Oppose		<p>Te Nehenehenui seeks to enhance the protection and maintenance of its people and taonga within the taiao as guided by Ko Tā Maniapoto Mahere Taiao – Maniapoto’s Environmental Management Plan.</p> <p>If submission points align to enhance the protection and maintenance of its people and all taonga within the taiao and the TNN area of interest, TNN is in support of these points.</p>	Reject
33.54	King Country Energy	Support with amendment	ECO-R2	<p>Amend ECO-R2 to:</p> <p>To provide a link to guidance on where to determine the areas of those SNA’s located within the Waitomo District.</p> <p>Or</p> <p>Amend the Proposed District Plan to provide detail of the area size of each SNA within Schedule 6.</p>	Accept in part
43.46	Graymont (NZ) Ltd	Support with amendment	ECO-R2	<p>Amend ECO-R2:</p> <p>To provide a link to guidance on where to determine the areas of those SNA’s located within the Waitomo District,</p> <p>Or</p> <p>That the proposed plan provides detail of the area size of each SNA within Schedule 6.</p>	Accept in part
47.102	Forest and Bird	Oppose	ECO-R2	<p>Delete ECO-R2.</p> <p>And</p> <p>Any consequential changes or alternative relief to achieve the relief sought.</p>	Reject
FS05.78	Federated Farmers	Oppose		Decline the relief sought	Accept
FS19.69	PF Olsen	Oppose		Disallow submission point	Accept
08.21	MFMNZL	Support	ECO-R3	Retain rule as notified.	Reject

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
FS19.129	PF Olsen	Support		Allow submission points where there is alignment with the PF Olsen submission	Reject
10.88	WRC	Amend	ECO-R3 and ECO-R13	Amend the wording of ECO-R3 as below: "To remove, dead, <u>diseased</u> or damaged indigenous vegetation or indigenous vegetation presenting an imminent danger to human life."	ECO-R3 Accept in part ECO-R13 Accept
33.49	King Country Energy	Support	ECO-R3	Retain ECO-R3 as notified.	Reject
33.55	King Country Energy	Support with amendment	ECO-R3	Amend ECO-R3 to: To provide a link to guidance on where to determine the areas of those SNA's located within the Waitomo District. Or Amend the Proposed District Plan to provide detail of the area size of each SNA within Schedule 6.	Accept in part
43.41	Graymont (NZ) Ltd	Support	ECO-R3	Retain ECO-R3 as notified.	Reject
FS23.192	Te Nehenehenui	Oppose		Te Nehenehenui seeks to enhance the protection and maintenance of its people and taonga within the taiao as guided by Ko Tā Maniapoto Mahere Taiao – Maniapoto's Environmental Management Plan. Where submission points do not align with this, or have the potential to negatively impact on iwi, hapu, whanau cultural values, sites, and all taonga within TNN area of interest, TNN opposes submission points of this nature and requests that Waitomo District Council consider this when finalising the PDP review.	Accept
43.47	Graymont (NZ) Ltd	Support with amendment	ECO-R3	Amend ECO-R3: To provide a link to guidance on where to determine the areas of those SNA's located within the Waitomo District, Or That the proposed plan provides detail of the area size of each SNA within Schedule 6.	Accept in part

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
47.103	Forest and Bird	Oppose with amendment	ECO-R3	Amend ECO-R3 as follows: To remove, dead or damaged indigenous vegetation or Where the indigenous vegetation <u>is</u> presenting an imminent danger <u>threat</u> to human life And Any consequential changes or alternative relief to achieve the relief sought.	Accept in part
FS05.79	Federated Farmers	Oppose		Decline the relief sought	Reject
FS19.70	PF Olsen	Oppose		Disallow submission point	Reject
18.05	AWFG	Seek amendment	ECO-R4	Amend as follows: In the general rural, natural open space, open space and rural lifestyle zones to maintain, relocate or construct perimeter fences for stock exclusion <u>within</u> a 2m distance of fences.	Accept
FS05.38	Federated Farmers	Oppose		Decline the relief sought	Reject
33.56	King Country Energy	Support with amendment	ECO-R4	Amend ECO-R4 to: To provide a link to guidance on where to determine the areas of those SNA's located within the Waitomo District. Or Amend the Proposed District Plan to provide detail of the area size of each SNA within Schedule 6.	Accept in part
43.48	Graymont (NZ) Ltd	Support with amendment	ECO-R4	Amend ECO-R4: To provide a link to guidance on where to determine the areas of those SNA's located within the Waitomo District, Or That the proposed plan provides detail of the area size of each SNA within Schedule 6.	Accept in part
47.104	Forest and Bird	Oppose with	ECO-R4	Amend ECO-R4 as follows:	Accept in part

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
		amendment		<p>In the general rural, natural open space, open space and rural lifestyle zones To maintain a lawfully established fence, where any trimming, pruning or removal is within 1.5 of the fence, relocate or construct a perimeter fences to exclude for stock exclusion from a significant natural area where any trimming, pruning or removal is within 1m of the new fence line.</p> <p>And</p> <p>Any consequential changes or alternative relief to achieve the relief sought.</p>	
FS05.80	Federated Farmers	Oppose		Decline the relief sought	Reject
FS19.71	PF Olsen	Oppose		Disallow submission point	Reject
08.22	MFMNZL	Support	ECO-R5	Retain rule as notified.	Accept in part
FS19.130	PF Olsen	Support		Allow submission points where there is alignment with the PF Olsen submission	Accept in part
18.06	AWFG	Seek amendment	ECO-R5	For the reasons set out in the general submissions above under "significant natural areas" amend to define 'maintenance purposes' for the various structures. In particular, provide a definition for maintenance in relation to water intake/discharge structures.	Reject
33.50	King Country Energy	Support with amendment	ECO-R5	<p>Amend ECO-R5 as follows:</p> <p>For maintenance purposes on or within 2 m of existing roads, driveways, tracks, fences or <u>and within 5 m of existing water</u> intake/discharge structures <u>associated with renewable electricity generation.</u></p>	Accept in part
FS23.138	Te Nehenehenui	Oppose		Te Nehenehenui have stated support for other submitters submission points that may conflict with several aspects of this submission, therefore TNN oppose the points of this submission that are not aligned to our Taiao and cultural values, or those we have noted support for	Accept in part
33.57	King Country Energy	Support with amendment	ECO-R5	<p>Amend ECO-R5 to:</p> <p>To provide a link to guidance on where to determine the areas of those SNA's located within the Waitomo District.</p> <p>Or</p>	Accept in part

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
				Amend the Proposed District Plan to provide detail of the area size of each SNA within Schedule 6.	
43.42	Graymont (NZ) Ltd	Support	ECO-R5	Retain ECO-R5 as notified.	Accept <u>in part</u>
43.49	Graymont (NZ) Ltd	Support with amendment	ECO-R5	Amend ECO-R5: To provide a link to guidance on where to determine the areas of those SNA's located within the Waitomo District, Or That the proposed plan provides detail of the area size of each SNA within Schedule 6.	Accept in part
FS23.193	Te Nehenehenui	Oppose		Te Nehenehenui seeks to enhance the protection and maintenance of its people and taonga within the taiao as guided by Ko Tā Maniapoto Mahere Taiao – Maniapoto's Environmental Management Plan. Where submission points do not align with this, or have the potential to negatively impact on iwi, hapu, whanau cultural values, sites, and all taonga within TNN area of interest, TNN opposes submission points of this nature and requests that Waitomo District Council consider this when finalising the PDP review.	Accept in part
47.105	Forest and Bird	Oppose with amendment	ECO-R5	Amend ECO-R5 as follows: For maintenance purposes on or within 2 m of existing roads, driveways, tracks, fences or water intake/discharge structures And Any consequential changes or alternative relief to achieve the relief sought.	Reject
FS05.81	Federated Farmers	Oppose		Decline the relief sought	Accept
FS19.72	PF Olsen	Oppose		Disallow submission point	Accept
08.23	MFMNZL	Support	ECO-R6	Retain rule as notified.	Reject
FS19.131	PF Olsen	Support		Allow submission points where there is alignment with the PF Olsen	Reject

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
				submission	
18.07	AWFG	Seek amendment	ECO-R6	Amend as follows: In the event of a track being destroyed by flooding or landslip or other natural hazard and there are no alternative options to obtain access to undertake existing farming activities, plantation forestry activities or to access an existing residential unit. <u>Vegetation removal is limited to the area necessary to obtain access.</u>	Accept
FS19.106	PF Olsen	Support in part		Allow submission point as amended by the addition of the word "safe"	Accept
33.58	King Country Energy	Support with amendment	ECO-R6	Amend ECO-R6 to: To provide a link to guidance on where to determine the areas of those SNA's located within the Waitomo District. Or Amend the Proposed District Plan to provide detail of the area size of each SNA within Schedule 6.	Accept in part
FS23.140	Te Nehenehenui	Oppose		Te Nehenehenui have stated support for other submitters submission points that may conflict with several aspects of this submission, therefore TNN oppose the points of this submission that are not aligned to our Taiao and cultural values, or those we have noted support for	Accept in part
43.50	Graymont (NZ) Ltd	Support with amendment	ECO-R6	Amend ECO-R6: 1. To provide a link to guidance on where to determine the areas of those SNA's located within the Waitomo District, Or That the proposed plan provides detail of the area size of each SNA within Schedule 6.	Accept in part
FS23.194	Te Nehenehenui	Oppose		Te Nehenehenui seeks to enhance the protection and maintenance of its people and taonga within the taiao as guided by Ko Tā Maniapoto Mahere Taiao – Maniapoto's Environmental Management Plan. Where submission points do not align with this, or have the potential to negatively impact on iwi, hapu, whanau cultural values, sites, and all taonga	Accept in part

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
				within TNN area of interest, TNN opposes submission points of this nature and requests that Waitomo District Council consider this when finalising the PDP review.	
47.106	Forest and Bird	Oppose	ECO-R6	Delete ECO-R6. And Any consequential changes or alternative relief to achieve the relief sought.	Reject
FS05.82	Federated Farmers	Oppose		Decline the relief sought	Accept
FS19.73	PF Olsen	Oppose		Disallow submission point	Accept
08.24	MFMNZL	Support	ECO-R7	Retain rule as notified.	Reject <u>Accept</u>
FS19.132	PF Olsen	Support		Allow submission points where there is alignment with the PF Olsen submission	Reject <u>Accept</u>
16.21	Fire and Emergency New Zealand (FENZ)	Support	ECO-R7	Retain as notified.	Reject <u>Accept</u>
33.59	King Country Energy	Support with amendment	ECO-R7	Amend ECO-R7 to: To provide a link to guidance on where to determine the areas of those SNA's located within the Waitomo District. Or Amend the Proposed District Plan to provide detail of the area size of each SNA within Schedule 6.	Accept in part
FS23.141	Te Nehenehenui	Oppose		Te Nehenehenui have stated support for other submitters submission points that may conflict with several aspects of this submission, therefore TNN oppose the points of this submission that are not aligned to our Taiao and cultural values, or those we have noted support for	Accept in part
43.51	Graymont (NZ) Ltd	Support with amendment	ECO-R7	Amend ECO-R7: To provide a link to guidance on where to determine the areas of those SNA's located within the Waitomo District, Or	Accept in part

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
				That the proposed plan provides detail of the area size of each SNA within Schedule 6.	
FS23.195	Te Nehenehenui	Oppose		<p>Te Nehenehenui seeks to enhance the protection and maintenance of its people and taonga within the taiao as guided by Ko Tā Maniapoto Mahere Taiao – Maniapoto’s Environmental Management Plan.</p> <p>Where submission points do not align with this, or have the potential to negatively impact on iwi, hapu, whanau cultural values, sites, and all taonga within TNN area of interest, TNN opposes submission points of this nature and requests that Waitomo District Council consider this when finalising the PDP review.</p>	Accept in part
47.107	Forest and Bird	Oppose	ECO-R7	<p>Delete ECO-R7. And</p> <p>Any consequential changes or alternative relief to achieve the relief sought.</p>	Accept in part <u>Reject</u>
FS05.83	Federated Farmers	Oppose		Decline the relief sought	Accept in part
FS19.74	PF Olsen	Oppose		Disallow submission point	Accept in part
18.08	AWFG	Seek amendment	ECO-R7	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>In all zones to manage fire risk <u>which complies with section 43 and 64 of the Fire and Emergency Act 2017.</u></p>	Accept in part <u>Reject</u>
08.25	MFMNZL	Support	ECO-R8	Retain rule as notified.	Accept
FS19.133	PF Olsen	Support		Allow submission points where there is alignment with the PF Olsen submission	Accept
33.51	King Country Energy	Support	ECO-R8	Retain ECO-R8 as notified.	Accept
33.60	King Country Energy	Support with amendment	ECO-R8	<p>Amend ECO-R8 to:</p> <p>To provide a link to guidance on where to determine the areas of those SNA’s</p>	Accept in part

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
				located within the Waitomo District. Or Amend the Proposed District Plan to provide detail of the area size of each SNA within Schedule 6.	
FS23.142	Te Nehenehenui	Oppose		Te Nehenehenui have stated support for other submitters submission points that may conflict with several aspects of this submission, therefore TNN oppose the points of this submission that are not aligned to our Taiao and cultural values, or those we have noted support for	Accept in part
38.68	TTRMC	Support	ECO-R8	Retain ECO-R8 as notified.	Accept
FS20.97	Sheryl Paekau	Support		I seek that the whole of all submissions provided by Te Kohanganui Whare be allowed and to take into account my support in part when applied to limiting numbers of dwellings on Maaori land.	Accept
43.43	Graymont (NZ) Ltd	Support	ECO-R8	Retain ECO-R8 as notified.	Accept
43.52	Graymont (NZ) Ltd	Support with amendment	ECO-R8	Amend ECO-R8: To provide a link to guidance on where to determine the areas of those SNA's located within the Waitomo District, Or That the proposed plan provides detail of the area size of each SNA within Schedule 6.	Accept in part
FS23.196	Te Nehenehenui	Oppose		Te Nehenehenui seeks to enhance the protection and maintenance of its people and taonga within the taiao as guided by Ko Tā Maniapoto Mahere Taiao – Maniapoto's Environmental Management Plan. Where submission points do not align with this, or have the potential to negatively impact on iwi, hapu, whanau cultural values, sites, and all taonga within TNN area of interest, TNN opposes submission points of this nature and requests that Waitomo District Council consider this when finalising the PDP review.	Accept in part
47.108	Forest and Bird	Support	ECO-R8	Retain ECO-R8 as notified.	Accept

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
FS19.75	PF Olsen	Oppose		Disallow submission point	Reject
08.26	MFMNZL	Support	ECO-R9	Retain rule as notified.	Reject
FS19.134	PF Olsen	Support		Allow submission points where there is alignment with the PF Olsen submission	Reject
10.85	WRC	Amend	ECO-R9	Amend wording as below (or similar): For pest management activities as identified in the Waikato Regional Pest Management Plan relating to the <u>management of nuisance plants that are impacting on the values of a site or area.</u>	Accept in part
27.41	Hort NZ	Support with amendment	ECO-R9	Amend ECO-R9 as follows: <u>and, removal of material infected by unwanted organisms under the Biosecurity Act 1993.</u>	Accept
33.52	King Country Energy	Support	ECO-R9	Retain ECO-R9 as notified.	Reject
33.61	King Country Energy	Support with amendment	ECO-R9	Amend ECO-R9 to: To provide a link to guidance on where to determine the areas of those SNA's located within the Waitomo District. Or Amend the Proposed District Plan to provide detail of the area size of each SNA within Schedule 6.	Accept in part
FS23.143	Te Nehenehenui	Oppose		Te Nehenehenui have stated support for other submitters submission points that may conflict with several aspects of this submission, therefore TNN oppose the points of this submission that are not aligned to our Taiao and cultural values, or those we have noted support for	Accept in part
43.44	Graymont (NZ) Ltd	Support	ECO-R9	Retain ECO-R9 as notified.	Reject
43.53	Graymont (NZ) Ltd	Support with amendment	ECO-R9	Amend ECO-R9: To provide a link to guidance on where to determine the areas of	Accept in part

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
				those SNA's located within the Waitomo District, Or That the proposed plan provides detail of the area size of each SNA within Schedule 6.	
47.109	Forest and Bird	Oppose	ECO-R9	Delete ECO-R9. And Any consequential changes or alternative relief to achieve the relief sought.	Reject
FS05.84	Federated Farmers	Oppose		Decline the relief sought	Accept
FS19.76	PF Olsen	Oppose		Disallow submission point	Accept
04.15	NZAAA	Support in part	ECO-R10	Retain the rule Amend the definition of conservation activities as sought above to include: <u>"(j) weed and pest control and the intermittent use of aircraft for consideration purposes"</u>	Accept in part See new definition of agricultural aviation activities
FS07.15	Grant Lennox	Support		Allowed	Accept in part See new definition of agricultural aviation activities
FS23.10	Te Nehenehenui	Oppose		Te Nehenehenui have stated support for other submitters' submission points that may be in conflict with this submission, therefore TNN oppose the points of this submission that are not aligned to our Taiao and cultural values, or those we have noted support for.	Accept in part See new definition of agricultural aviation activities
08.27	MFMNZL	Support	ECO-R10	Retain rule as notified.	Accept
FS19.135	PF Olsen	Support		Allow submission points where there is alignment with the PF Olsen submission	Accept
11.02	QEII Trust	Support	ECO-R10	For conservation activities: Activity Status: PER	Accept

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
				Where: 1. From 20 October 2022 any clearance must be no more than a maximum of 500 m ² or less of indigenous vegetation at any one time or in total cumulatively per holding OR less than 1% of the SNA size at any one time or in total cumulatively per holding – whichever is the Lesser.	
FS15.17	New Zealand Helicopter Association (NZHA)	Support		Retain the policy as sought	Accept
12.15	Heli A1 Limited	Support in part	ECO-R10	Retain the rule Amend the definition of conservation activities as sought above to include: <u>“(j) weed and pest control and the intermittent use of aircraft for conservation purposes”</u>	Accept in part See new definition of agricultural aviation activities
FS13.37	NZAAA	Support		Retain the rule and add to the definition as sought	Accept in part See new definition of agricultural aviation activities
FS15.18	NZHA	Support		Retain the rule and add to the definition as sought	Accept in part See new definition of agricultural aviation activities
18.09	AWFG	Support	ECO-R10	Retain as notified.	Accept
33.62	King Country Energy	Support with amendment	ECO-R10	Amend ECO-R10 to: To provide a link to guidance on where to determine the areas of those SNA’s located within the Waitomo District. Or Amend the Proposed District Plan to provide detail of the area size of each SNA within Schedule 6.	Accept in part

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
FS23.144	Te Nehenehenui	Oppose		Te Nehenehenui have stated support for other submitters submission points that may conflict with several aspects of this submission, therefore TNN oppose the points of this submission that are not aligned to our Taiao and cultural values, or those we have noted support for	Accept in part
43.54	Graymont (NZ) Ltd	Support with amendment	ECO-R10	Amend ECO-R10: 1. To provide a link to guidance on where to determine the areas of those SNA's located within the Waitomo District, Or That the proposed plan provides detail of the area size of each SNA within Schedule 6.	Accept in part
47.110	Forest and Bird	Oppose with amendment	ECO-R10	Delete ECO-R10. Or Amend definition of Conservation Activities as sought elsewhere in the submission. And Any consequential changes or alternative relief to achieve the relief sought.	ECO-10 – Reject See also 47.04
FS05.85	Federated Farmers	Oppose		Decline the relief sought	Accept
FS19.77	PF Olsen	Oppose		Disallow submission point	Accept
10.86	WRC	Oppose	ECO-R10 ECO-R14	Reduce the vegetation clearance limits and set an appropriate time limit between removals. In addition, amend and reduce the length of the sentences used for ECO-R14.1 and ECO-R14.2 so that they are easier to interpret.	ECO-R10 and R14 – Accept in part ECO-R14 Accept in part
FS03.31	DoC	Support		Allow	ECO-R10 and R14 – accept in part ECO-R14 Accept in part

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
18.10	AWFG	Support	ECO-R11	Retain as notified.	Accept
47.114	Forest and Bird	Oppose with amendment	ECO-R11	<p>Amend ECO-R11 to apply to "building platforms within a site that includes a Significant Natural Area or part of a Significant Natural Area.</p> <p>And</p> <p>Amend the activity status in ECO-R11 to "Controlled."</p> <p>And</p> <p>Ensure this rule applies both outside and within the CE and within residential zones.</p> <p>Where:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Indigenous vegetation clearance is for the purpose of the establishment of a building platform and access to an existing building site where there is no existing residential building. 2. Any disturbance or removal of indigenous vegetation for access to the site is only where alternative access is not possible and only for one accessway. <p>Matters of control to include:</p> <p>Alternative locations on the site to avoid or reduce disturbance of the Significant natural area</p> <p>The location of the accessway</p> <p>Effects on indigenous biodiversity and protection of any significant natural area(s).</p> <p>And</p> <p>Any consequential changes or alternative relief to achieve the relief sought.</p>	Reject
FS05.88	Federated Farmers	Oppose		Decline the relief sought	Accept
47.115	Forest and Bird	Oppose with amendment	ECO-R12	<p>Amend ECO-R12 to RDIS activity status. And</p> <p>Retain rule conditions in ECO-R12. And</p> <p>Add as the first condition to ECO-R12:</p> <p><u>1 . There are no other suitable sites for the proposed activity."</u></p>	Accept in part

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
				<p><u>Include as matters of discretion:</u></p> <p><u>1. Effects on indigenous biodiversity, connectivity, values and characteristics of the significant natural area, including impacts on the coastal environment where applicable.</u></p> <p><u>2. Outcomes of consultation with mana whenua where the site has cultural or archaeological values.</u></p> <p><u>3. Alternatives to removing indigenous vegetation from a significant natural area."</u></p> <p>Make non-compliance with this rule a Non-Complying activity.</p> <p>And</p> <p>Any consequential changes or alternative relief to achieve the relief sought.</p>	
FS05.89	Federated Farmers	Oppose		Decline the relief sought	Accept in part
FS10.50	King Country Energy	Oppose		Disallow	Accept in part
10.87	WRC	Oppose	ECO-R12	<p>Include the following clause (or similar) as the first clause in the rule:</p> <p><u>" 1. There are no other suitable sites for the proposed activity."</u></p>	Accept
FS03.32	DoC	Support		Allow	Accept
33.63	King Country Energy	Support	ECO-R12	Retain ECO-R12 as notified.	Reject
47.116	Forest and Bird	Oppose	ECO-R13	Delete ECO-R13.	Reject
FS05.90	Federated Farmers	Oppose		Decline the relief sought	Accept
FS10.51	King Country Energy	Oppose		Disallow	Accept
FS19.79	PF Olsen	Oppose		Disallow submission point	Accept
47.117	Forest and Bird	Oppose with amendment	ECO-R14	<p>Delete ECO-R14.</p> <p>Or</p>	Reject

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
				Amend the activity status of ECO-R14 to Discretionary for clearance less than 500m2 and activities as set out in Condition 1. And Any consequential changes or alternative relief to achieve the relief sought.	
53.46	DoC	Support in part	ECO-R14	<p>Amend ECO-R14 with the following or words to like effect:</p> <p>Where:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The clearance is 500-m² or less of indigenous vegetation per holding and not more than 250 m2 cumulatively over any five <u>year period</u> OR less than 1% of the SNA size — whichever is the lesser, to provide for outdoor education activities or adventure tourism activities comprising ziplining, canyon swinging, high ropes, rock climbing, abseiling or caving activities only; and 2. In the a <u>Significant Natural Area</u>, coastal environment overlay or karst overlay, a report from an experienced ecologist is submitted at the time of application which demonstrates that the site is not vegetation or habitat that is currently a naturally uncommon or significantly underrepresented ecosystem or habitat for indigenous species or associations of indigenous species that are classified as threatened or at risk, endemic to the Waikato region or at the limit of their natural range. <p>Matters over which discretion is restricted:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (a) The location, extent and area of indigenous vegetation proposed to be removed; and (b) Effects on indigenous biodiversity, connectivity, values and characteristics of the significant natural area; and (c) Outcomes of the ecological assessment report; and (d) Outcomes of consultation with mana whenua where the site has identified cultural or archaeological values; and (e) Positive effects on the ecological values of the site; and (f) The extent to which existing vegetation is retained in order to mitigate the effects of streambank, coastal and slope erosion, sedimentation, water quality degradation and loss of indigenous species habitat; and (g) Methods proposed to avoid or minimise potential adverse effects on 	Accept in part

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
				indigenous biodiversity including consideration of the no net loss principle and rehabilitation measures; and (h) Alternatives to removing indigenous vegetation from a significant natural area. Activity status where compliance is not achieved: DIS	
FS05.145	Federated Farmers	Oppose		Decline the relief sought	Accept in part
FS19.47	PF Olsen	Oppose		Disallow submission point	Accept in part
47.118	Forest and Bird	Oppose	ECO-R15	Delete ECO-R15. And Any consequential changes or alternative relief to achieve the relief sought.	Reject
FS05.91	Federated Farmers	Oppose		Decline the relief sought	Accept
FS19.80	PF Olsen	Oppose		Disallow submission point	Accept
53.47	DoC	Support	ECO-R15, ECO-R16	Retain as notified.	ECO-R15 Accept ECO-R16 Accept
10.89	WRC	Oppose	ECO-R16	Change the activity status from Discretionary to Non-complying. Amend the wording of 3. to: A report from an experienced <u>a suitability qualified and reputable</u> ecologist is submitted at the time of application which demonstrates that the site is not vegetation or habitat that is currently a naturally uncommon or significantly underrepresented ecosystem or habitat for indigenous species or associations of indigenous species that are classified as threatened or at risk, endemic to the Waikato region or at the limit of their natural range. <u>The report must be informed by a ground-truthing assessment in accordance with the Department of Conservation (DoC) standard operating procedures and must be peer-reviewed.</u> ³	Reject
FS03.33	DoC	Support in part		Allow in part	Reject

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
33.65	King Country Energy	Support with amendment	ECO-R16	Add a new clause to ECO-R16(3) as follows: <u>3. The removal of vegetation is associated with nationally or regionally significant infrastructure that exceeds 500 m2 at any one time, or in total cumulatively per holding.</u>	Accept in part
FS23.146	Te Nehenehenui	Oppose		Te Nehenehenui have stated support for other submitters submission points that may conflict with several aspects of this submission, therefore TNN oppose the points of this submission that are not aligned to our Taiao and cultural values, or those we have noted support for	Accept in part
42.28	Ventus Energy	Support with amendment	ECO-R16	Amend ECO-R16 to read: Where: 1. The activity is located in a significant natural area – <u>of regional or local significance local category</u> ; or 2. ...	Reject
FS03.77	DoC	Oppose		Disallow	Accept
FS10.14	King Country Energy	Support		Allow	Reject
FS23.173	Te Nehenehenui	Oppose		Oppose where the activity impacts sites and areas of significance to Māori and significant archaeological sites, iwi, hapu and mana whenua cultural values must be provided for	Accept
43.55	Graymont (NZ) Ltd	Support with amendment	ECO-R16	Add a new clause to ECO-R16 as follows: <u>3. The removal of vegetation is associated with nationally or regionally significant industry, infrastructure or those activities associated with significant mineral resources that exceeds 500 m2 at any one time, or in total cumulatively per holding</u> And 3. —A report from an experienced....	Reject
FS03.92	DoC	Oppose		Disallow	Accept
FS10.19	King Country Energy	Support		Allow	Reject

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
FS23.197	Te Nehenehenui	Oppose		<p>Te Nehenehenui seeks to enhance the protection and maintenance of its people and taonga within the taiao as guided by Ko Tā Maniapoto Mahere Taiao – Maniapoto’s Environmental Management Plan.</p> <p>Where submission points do not align with this, or have the potential to negatively impact on iwi, hapu, whanau cultural values, sites, and all taonga within TNN area of interest, TNN opposes submission points of this nature and requests that Waitomo District Council consider this when finalising the PDP review.</p>	Accept
47.119	Forest and Bird	Oppose with amendment	ECO-R16	<p>Amend ECO-R16 to a Non-Complying activity.</p> <p>And</p> <p>Any consequential changes or alternative relief to achieve the relief sought.</p>	Reject
FS05.92	Federated Farmers	Oppose		Decline the relief sought	Accept
FS19.81	PF Olsen	Oppose		Disallow submission point	Accept
08.28	MFMNZL	Oppose	ECO-R17	Delete rule ECO-R17.	Reject
FS19.136	PF Olsen	Support		Allow submission points where there is alignment with the PF Olsen submission	Reject
FS23.35	Te Nehenehenui	Support in part		Support in part where the submission points do not conflict with TNN’s submission or other supported submissions	Accept
30.14	NZFM	Oppose with amendment	ECO-R17	Amend or Delete rule ECO-R17 in conjunction with Policy ECO-P9.	Reject
FS19.14	PF Olsen	Support		Allow submission point	Reject
FS23.108	Te Nehenehenui	Not stated		Oppose and support in part where the submission points align to the submissions supported by TNN	Accept
47.120	Forest and Bird	Oppose with amendment	ECO-R17	<p>Amend ECO-R17 to a Prohibited activity status.</p> <p>And</p>	Reject

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
				Any consequential changes or alternative relief to achieve the relief sought.	
FS05.93	Federated Farmers	Oppose		Decline the relief sought	Accept
FS12.06	MFMNZL	Oppose		Reject submission to make harvesting of existing planation forest from within the boundary of an SNA a prohibited activity.	Accept
FS19.82	PF Olsen	Oppose		Disallow submission point	Accept
53.48	DoC	Support	ECO-R17	Retain as notified.	Accept
10.91	WRC	Amend	General comment – vegetation clearance setback from water bodies	<p>Include a rule that addresses setbacks for vegetation clearance from water bodies. WRC recommends that exceptions to this rule are made and should include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • conservation activities; • customary activities; • the operation, maintenance or repair of existing pasture, fences, drains, structures, including existing roads or tracks (including walking or cycling tracks); • the operation, maintenance, repair or upgrading of existing network utilities; • for flood protection and erosion control purposes; • the purposes of emergency response by Fire and Emergency New Zealand; • compliance with the Waitomo District Council Reserve Management Plan; or • the avoidance or loss of life, injury or serious damage to property. 	Reject
FS03.35	DoC	Support		Allow	Reject
10.92	WRC	Amend	General comment – kauri dieback provisions	Include provisions relating to the prevention of the spread of kauri dieback disease. Guidance can be taken from the Thames-Coromandel District Plan, which includes provisions relating to the prevention of kauri dieback disease. For example, see section 6 - policy 1a(c) and policy 1c(c), the kauri dieback advice notes included in the earthworks standards, and the recognition	Reject

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
				of the kauri root zone throughout the plan.	
FS03.36	DoC	Support		Allow	Reject
18.11	AWFG	Seek amendment	Introduce new part	<p>For the reasons set out in the general submissions above under "Council freshwater management" AWFG seek a new part which sets methods and rules for the management of Council land, specifically for freshwater. This should map all land owned and controlled by the Waitomo District Council and apply a set of methods, including rules, to this land to achieve the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Ensuring public access to waterways is provided for. 2. Introduction of a new rule requiring a 20m setback between activities which may contaminate waterways and the bed of the waterway. 3. Introduction of new rules controlling drainage, fertiliser and pesticide use. 4. Introduction of a new method and rules to phase out existing polluting activities, and phase in new activities and modify existing activities so that they are less impactful or intrusive on freshwater. <p>Introduce a new rule for riparian land which is not currently under lease, or for which the lease expires, that it is retired from uses which may pollute freshwater. Include a provision to ensure a plan is made for the land's restoration.</p>	This matter will be addressed in the Miscellaneous Section 42A Report
33.64	King Country Energy	Support with amendment		No specific decision requested, but submission notes that there is no alternate permitted activity rule for either existing or new renewable energy generation (that falls outside of small-scale) or for national or regionally significant infrastructure, within the ECO chapter.	Accept
FS23.145	Te Nehenehenui	Oppose		Te Nehenehenui have stated support for other submitters submission points that may conflict with several aspects of this submission, therefore TNN oppose the points of this submission that are not aligned to our Taiao and cultural values, or those we have noted support for	Accept
47.86	Forest and Bird	Oppose	New policy	<p>Add a new policy setting out an effects management hierarchy for adverse effects on indigenous biodiversity as follows:</p> <p><u>ECO-PX effects management hierarchy for adverse effects on indigenous</u></p>	Accept in part

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
				<u>biodiversity</u> 1. <u>Subject to ECO-P1, avoid adverse effects as far as practicable while recognising the functional and operational needs of Regionally Significant Infrastructure and the need to maintain indigenous biodiversity and protect significant natural areas.</u> 2. <u>Where adverse effects cannot be avoided, remedy adverse effects.</u> 3. <u>Where adverse effects cannot be remedied, mitigate adverse effects.</u> 4. <u>Where residual adverse effects remain after applying 1, 2 and 3 above:</u> a. <u>in significant natural areas in relation to RSI activities consider whether offsetting is appropriate in accordance with APP4 Offsetting criteria; and</u> b. <u>outside significant natural areas consider whether offsetting is appropriate in accordance with APP4 Offsetting criteria</u> c. <u>If a and b are not satisfied consider whether the proposal should go ahead having regard to the residual effects and the need to maintain indigenous biodiversity and to provide for the protection of significant natural areas.</u> And Any consequential changes or alternative relief to achieve the relief sought.	
FS05.66	Federated Farmers	Oppose		Decline the relief sought	Accept in part
FS08.08	Graymont (NZ) Ltd	Oppose in part		Disallow	Accept in part
FS10.42	King Country Energy	Oppose		Disallow	Accept in part
47.112	Forest and Bird	New	New rule	Add the following Controlled and Discretionary rules for Subdivisions affecting SNAs: <u>ECO – RAA Subdivision of Land to Create Allotments on a site with a Significant Natural Area Indigenous Biodiversity</u> <u>Activity Status: Controlled Where:</u> 6. <u>An assessment of the site against the significance criteria in Appendix 5 of the WRPS is provided with the application and applicant can</u>	Reject

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
				<p><u>demonstrate the adequacy of this assessment; and</u></p> <p><u>7. One new allotment with a minimum lot size of 4,000m is created from the parent title, provided that in the GRUZ - General Rural Zone there is a balance area remaining on the original title of at least 4 ha; and</u></p> <p><u>8. The area of significant indigenous biodiversity is legally protected in perpetuity by way of a conservation covenant with an authorised agency and is contained within an allotment;</u></p> <p><u>9. The subdivision will not result in buildings or access ways being located within the identified area of significant indigenous biodiversity or the need for clearance of significant indigenous vegetation to provide for a future building site or future access to any site.</u></p> <p><u>[Add a condition to include any relevant subdivision standards.] Matters of control are:</u></p> <p><u>a. Subdivision layout, access, design, location, and proximity of building platforms to areas of significant indigenous biodiversity;</u></p> <p><u>b. The inclusion of covenants on the titles including for the location of residential building platforms and access;</u></p> <p><u>c. Management of earthworks, including earthworks for the location of building platforms and access ways;</u></p> <p><u>d. The protection of habitats of threatened or at risk species; and</u></p> <p><u>e. The measures to avoid, remedy, or mitigate any adverse effects on significant indigenous biodiversity.</u></p> <p><u>Non-compliance with this rule is DIS. And</u></p> <p><u>ECO – RBB Subdivision of Land to Create Allotments on a site with a Significant Natural Area not meeting Rule ECO - RAA</u></p> <p><u>Activity Status Discretionary Where:</u></p> <p><u>1. The Significant Natural Area is legally protected in perpetuity by way of a conservation covenant with an authorised agency and is contained within a single allotment;</u></p> <p><u>2. The subdivision will not result in buildings or accessways being located within any Significant Natural Area; and</u></p> <p><u>[Add a condition to include any relevant subdivision standards.] Activity</u></p>	

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
				<p><u>status where compliance not achieved: Non-complying</u> And</p> <p>Add further requirements as necessary to the ECO/SUB rules to ensure that significant natural area(s) within the site are protected from activities within the same allotment that contain the SNA(s).</p> <p>And</p> <p>Make any consequential changes to the SUB chapter to ensure that subdivision on any site with indigenous biodiversity undertakes an assessment applying the significance criteria in Appendix 5 of the WRPS.</p> <p>And</p> <p>Any consequential changes or alternative relief to achieve the relief sought.</p>	
FS05.87	Federated Farmers	Oppose		Decline the relief sought	Accept
47.113	Forest and Bird	New	ECO Rule	<p>Add a new Rule to Table 1 in the Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity chapter for new mineral extraction and quarrying to be a Prohibited activity in SNAs.</p> <p>And</p> <p>Any consequential changes or alternative relief to achieve the relief sought.</p>	Reject
FS08.11	Graymont (NZ) Ltd	Oppose		Disallow	Accept
FS19.78	PF Olsen	Oppose		Disallow submission point	Accept
10.126	WRC	Oppose	HW-R8	<p>Move this rule to the ECO chapter and prescribe environmental standards and clearance limits.</p> <p>Additionally, include environmental standards relating to the protection of bat habitats and the corridors of mobile fauna.</p>	Rejected in Hapori Whānui chapter
FS03.53	DoC	Support		Allow	Rejected in Hapori Whānui chapter
47.121	Forest and Bird	New	New rule	Add a new Table 2 to the Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity chapter, which applies to clearance of indigenous vegetation outside of Significant Natural Areas as follows:	Reject

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
				<p><u>New ECO Rule A - PERs</u></p> <p><u>Indigenous vegetation clearance is a permitted activity Where:</u></p> <p><u>1. The clearance is not within an SNA listed in Schedule 6; and 2. The clearance is for the following purposes:</u></p> <p><u>a. The maintenance, operation and repair of lawfully established:</u></p> <p><u>i. Roads, driveways, tracks or water intake/discharge structures, and clearance is limited to within 2m</u></p> <p><u>ii. fences, and clearance is limited to within 1.5m of the fence</u> <u>iii. structures, and clearance is limited to within 3m of the structure</u></p> <p><u>iv. buildings, and clearance is limited to within 5m of the building</u></p> <p><u>v. regionally significant infrastructure, and clearance is limited to within 5m of the infrastructure and clearance is limited to a maximum area of 50m²;</u></p> <p><u>b. Where it is necessary to remove vegetation that endangers human life or within 5m of existing buildings or structures;</u></p> <p><u>c. For the construction of new fences and traplines associated with Conservation Activities or to exclude stock or pest animals from an area of indigenous vegetation, and the clearance is limited to 2m wide to provide for the new fence;</u></p> <p><u>d. To comply with section 43 of the Fire and Emergency Act 2017;</u></p> <p><u>e. It is for Māori cultural and customary uses or Scientific purposes;</u></p> <p><u>f. f. It is associated with maintaining a domestic garden;</u></p> <p><u>and</u></p> <p><u>3. Within the Coastal Environment:</u></p> <p><u>a. The indigenous vegetation clearance does not disturb, damage, or destroy habitat or nesting areas of protected species.</u></p> <p><u>b. The indigenous vegetation clearance does not occur in an area of land environment of category one or two of the Threatened Environment Classification.</u></p> <p><u>c. Where compliance with condition 1 is not achieved then Table</u></p>	

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
				<p><u>1 rules apply.</u></p> <p><u>Where compliance with condition 2 is not achieved the following rules B, C, D apply:</u></p> <p><u>New ECO Rule B - RDIS</u></p> <p><u>Indigenous vegetation clearance is a Restricted Discretionary Activity where:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <u>1. The clearance is not within an SNA listed in Schedule 6; and</u> <u>2. An assessment in accordance with Appendix 5 of the WRPS demonstrates that the clearance and disturbance is not within a Significant Natural Area not yet listed in Schedule 6; and</u> <u>3. The clearance is for the purposes and activities specified in Condition 2 of Rule ECO Rule A; or</u> <u>4. the clearance is for the purpose of upgrading or construction of the National Grid where:</u> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <u>a. The works corridor does not exceed 3 min width; and</u> <u>b. All machinery used in construction is cleaned and made free of weed material and seeds prior to entering the site; and</u> <u>c. Rehabilitation of disturbed areas is undertaken following the completion of construction; or</u> <u>5. The clearance is for the purpose to upgrade or create new public walking or cycling tracks up to 3m in width undertaken by the Council or its approved contractor; and</u> <p><u>This is not within:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <u>i. An area of land environment of category one or two of the Threatened Environment Classification;</u> <u>i i . An Outstanding Natural Landscape overlay;</u> <u>iii. An Outstanding Natural Feat u re overlay;</u> <u>i v. An area of High Coastal Natural Character overlay; or</u> <u>v. An area of Outstanding Coastal Natural Character overlay.</u> <p><u>Discretion is restricted to:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <u>a. The functional or operational need of the national grid for the location</u> <u>b. Effects on habitats of any threatened, at-risk, or protected species;</u> 	

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
				<p>c. <u>Effects on the threat status of land environments in category one or two of the Threatened Environments Classification;</u></p> <p>d. <u>Effects on ecological functioning and the life supporting capacity of air, water, soil, and ecosystems;</u></p> <p>e. <u>Effects on the intrinsic values of ecosystems;</u></p> <p>f. <u>Effects on recreation values of public land; and</u></p> <p>g. <u>The maintenance and protection of indigenous biodiversity</u></p> <p>h. <u>The adequacy of the significance assessment to the effects of the proposed activity.</u></p> <p>i. <u>The extent of indigenous clearance necessary to undertake the activity.</u></p> <p><u>Where compliance with conditions 1 and 2 is not achieved and the activity is not specifically provided for by another Rule in this ECO chapter, the activity is NC.</u></p> <p><u>Where compliance with any other condition is not achieved the activity is DIS.</u></p>	
				<p><u>Advice note: rules in other chapters relating to overlays may also apply.</u></p> <p><u>New ECO Rule C - DIS</u></p> <p><u>The clearance of indigenous vegetation that does not comply with Rules ECO Rule B and is not NC under ECO Rule D and any other indigenous vegetation clearance not specifically provided for is a DIS activity</u></p> <p><u>New ECO Rule D - NC</u></p> <p><u>The clearance of indigenous vegetation that does not comply with conditions 1 and 2 of Rule ECO Rule B is a NC activity.</u></p> <p>And</p> <p>Make any consequential changes to the SUB chapter to ensure that subdivision on any site with indigenous biodiversity undertakes an assessment applying the significance criteria in Appendix 5 of the WRPS.</p> <p>And</p> <p>Incorporate the Threatened Environment Classification by way of reference into the Plan: https://www.landcareresearch.co.nz/tools-and-resources/mapping/threatened-environment-classification/</p>	<u>Reject</u>

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
				<p>And</p> <p>Add or Amend the note under HW-R8 to refer to the need to comply with ECO rules, including ECO-RA relating to the clearance of indigenous vegetation for fencing.</p> <p>And</p> <p>Any consequential changes or alternative relief to achieve the relief sought.</p>	
FS03.117	DoC	Support		Allow	Reject
FS05.94	Federated Farmers	Oppose		Decline the relief sought	Accept
FS10.52	King Country Energy	Oppose		Disallow	Accept
FS12.07	MFMNZL	Oppose		Reject submission to introduce a new Table 2 containing controls on vegetation outside of the SNA.	Accept
FS19.83	PF Olsen	Oppose		Disallow ALL of the submission points	Accept
47.122	Forest and Bird	New	New rule	<p>Add a new rule to Table 2 of the Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity chapter for indigenous vegetation clearance for mineral extraction and quarrying activities is DIS.</p> <p>Where:</p> <p><u>1. An assessment in accordance with Appendix 5 of the WRPS demonstrates that the clearance and disturbance is not within a Significant Natural Area not yet listed in Schedule 6.</u></p> <p><u>Where compliance with 1 is not achieved the activity is NC</u> And</p> <p>Any consequential changes or alternative relief to achieve the relief sought.</p>	Reject
FS03.118	DoC	Support		Allow	Reject
FS12.08	MFMNZL	Oppose		Reject submission to introduce a new rule specifically for mineral extraction and quarrying	Accept
FS19.84	PF Olsen	Oppose		Disallow submission point	Accept

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
47.123	Forest and Bird	New	New rule – Vegetation clearance setback from water-bodies	Add a new rule to the Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity chapter that addresses setbacks for vegetation clearance from water bodies. And Any consequential changes or alternative relief to achieve the relief sought.	Reject
FS05.96	Federated Farmers	Oppose		Decline the relief sought	Accept
FS12.09	MFMNZL	Oppose		Reject submission to avoid duplication	Accept
FS19.85	PF Olsen	Oppose		Disallow submission point	Accept
47.124	Forest and Bird	General	General comment protection of mobile fauna	Add provisions for protection of bat habitats including corridors, and corridors of other highly mobile indigenous species to the Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity chapter. Submitter suggests that Waitomo DC work with WRC and DOC and other bat specialists to identify Bat Protection Areas to be included as an overlay in the DP maps, and include appropriate statements in the DP Objectives, Policies and Rules. And Any consequential changes or alternative relief to achieve the relief sought.	Reject
FS03.119	DoC	Support		Allow	Reject
FS05.97	Federated Farmers	Oppose		Deline the relief sought	Accept
FS12.10	MFMNZL	Oppose		Reject submission OR Amend it to exclude the protection of long tailed bat habitat within exotic planation forests, given pending national direction.	Accept
FS26.04	WRC	Support		Add provisions for protection of bat habitats including corridors, and corridors of other highly mobile indigenous species to the Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity chapter. Waitomo DC to work with WRC and DOC and other bat specialists to identify Bat Protection Areas to be included as an overlay in the district plan maps, and include appropriate statements in	Reject

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
				the district plan Objectives, Policies and Rules.	
FS19.86	PF Olsen	Oppose		Disallow submission point	Accept
53.30	DoC	New	New	<p>Insert the following or words to like effect: ECO-Px</p> <p><u>Identify Significant Natural Areas by:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <u>assessing and continuing to identify new areas of indigenous vegetation and habitats of indigenous fauna according to the criteria set out in WRPS APP5-Criteria for Identifying Significant Natural Areas; and</u> 2. <u>including Significant Natural Areas on the Planning Maps and in SCHED7 – Schedule of Significant Natural Areas.</u> 	Reject
FS05.136	Federated Farmers	Oppose		Decline the relief sought	Accept
FS12.21	MFMNZL	Oppose in part		<p>Reject submission to include the new policy,</p> <p>OR</p> <p>Alternatively make it clear in the policy that the requirement to undertake assessments of new areas that meet SNA criteria is to be undertaken by the District Council and implemented via plan change processes only.</p>	Accept
FS19.34	PF Olsen	Oppose		Disallow submission point	Accept
FS30.53	Transpower	Support		Allow	Reject
53.31	DoC	New	New	<p>Insert the following or words to like effect:</p> <p><u>Protect and restore SNAs and those other areas that meet the criteria set out in WRPS APP5 by:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <u>avoiding adverse effects on SNAs including:</u> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. <u>loss of ecosystem representation and extent;</u> b. <u>disruption to sequences, mosaics, or ecosystems within an SNA;</u> c. <u>fragmentation of SNAs or the loss of buffers or connection to</u> 	Reject

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
				<p><u>other important habitats or ecosystems;</u></p> <p>d. <u>a reduction in the function of the SNA as a buffer or connection to other important habitats or ecosystems;</u></p> <p>e. <u>a reduction in the population size or occupancy of Threatened, At Risk (Declining) species that use an SNA for any part of their life cycle.</u></p> <p>2. <u>avoiding the clearance of indigenous vegetation and earthworks within SNAs unless these activities:</u></p> <p>a. <u>can be undertaken in a way that protects identified ecological values; and</u></p> <p>b. <u>are for regionally significant infrastructure and it can be demonstrated that adverse effects are managed in accordance with the effects management hierarchy.</u></p> <p>3. <u>promoting the restoration and enhancement of significant indigenous vegetation and habitats; and</u></p> <p>4. <u>supporting and promoting the use of covenants, reserves, management plans and community initiatives.</u></p>	
FS05.137	Federated Farmers	Oppose		Decline the relief sought	Accept
FS10.64	King Country Energy	Oppose		Disallow	Accept
FS25.27	Ventus Energy	Oppose		Disallow	Accept
FS19.35	PF Olsen	Oppose		Disallow submission point	Accept
FS30.54	Transpower	Oppose		Disallow	Accept
53.33	DoC	New	New	<p>Insert new Policy which addresses the maintenance and enhancement of indigenous vegetation and habitats of indigenous fauna that do not meet the significance criteria.</p> <p>Matters that should be set out in the policy are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - the ongoing assessment of the current state of indigenous biodiversity within the District; 	Reject

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - not only limiting vegetation clearance within sensitive areas but also within areas of indigenous vegetation that contain threatened, at risk species, or species at the reach of their national or regional distribution limits in the District or are naturally uncommon ecosystems; and - providing support and enabling the use of non- regulatory mechanisms to maintain and enhance indigenous biodiversity. 	
FS05.139	Federated Farmers	Oppose		Decline the relief sought	Accept
FS19.37	PF Olsen	Oppose		Disallow submission point	Accept
53.34	DoC	New policy	New Policy ECO-Px Protection for bats	<p>Insert a new policy with the following or words to like effect: <u>ECO- Px Protection for bats</u></p> <p><u>Protect native bats by:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <u>Identifying important habitat for native bats as a Bat Protection Area overlay on the Planning Maps; and</u> 2. <u>Protecting, the bats and their habitat within this overlay.</u> 	Reject
FS05.140	Federated Farmers	Oppose		Decline the relief sought	Accept
FS12.22	MFMNZL	Oppose in part		<p>Reject submission</p> <p>OR</p> <p>Amend it to exclude the protection of long tailed bat habitat within exotic plantation forests, given pending national direction.</p>	Accept
FS25.29	Ventus Energy	Oppose		Disallow	Accept
FS27.07	Waka Kotahi	Oppose in part		Waka Kotahi seeks further consultation regarding the extent of the new areas	Accept
FS18.14	Omya	Oppose		Do not adopt this change	Accept
FS19.38	PF Olsen	Oppose		Disallow submission point	Accept

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
FS21.32	Taharoa Ironsands Ltd	Oppose		Reject submission point	Accept
FS30.55	Transpower	Support in part		Allow in part	Reject
53.44	DoC	New	New Rule	<p><u>ECO-Rx Clearance of trees in the Bat Protection Area Activity Status: PER</u></p> <p><u>Where:</u></p> <p><u>It does not exceed:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <u>a diameter of 150mm when measured at 1.4m in height above ground level.</u> <p><u>Activity Status where compliance not achieved: Restricted discretionary</u></p> <p><u>Matters of discretion are restricted to:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <u>whether, upon specialist assessment by a suitably qualified ecologist (class x), tree/s proposed to be removed is habitat for long-tailed bats; and</u> <u>the extent to which the removal of tree/s would impact on the ability of the long-tailed bat protection area to provide for the habitat needs of the bats</u> <u>the reasons for removal of the tree and any alternatives considered; and</u> <u>If the ecologist report determines the vegetation is being used as bat habitat, submission of a Bat Management Plan which will assess any measures to avoid, remedy or mitigate the adverse effects.</u> 	Reject
FS05.143	Federated Farmers	Oppose		Decline the relief sought	Accept
FS12.23	MFMNZL	Oppose in part		<p>Reject submission</p> <p>OR</p> <p>Amend it to exclude the protection of long tailed bat habitat within exotic plantation forests, given pending national direction.</p>	Accept
FS18.15	Omya	Oppose		Do not adopt this change	Accept

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
FS19.45	PF Olsen	Oppose		Disallow submission point	Accept
FS21.33	Taharoa Ironsands Ltd	Oppose		Reject submission point	Accept
FS30.56	Transpower	Oppose		Disallow	Accept

General Rural Zone – Submission points

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
47.176	Forest and Bird	Oppose	GRUZ-R15	Delete or Amend GRUZ-R15 for consistency with new Rule ECO Rule A to D sought elsewhere in the submission. And Any consequential changes or alternative relief to achieve the relief sought.	Reject
FS05.123	Federated Farmers	Oppose		<u>Advice note: rules in other chapters relating to overlays may also apply.</u> <u>New ECO Rule C - DIS</u> <u>The clearance of indigenous vegetation that does not comply with Rules ECO Rule B and is not NC under ECO Rule D and any other indigenous vegetation clearance not specifically provided for is a DIS activity</u> <u>New ECO Rule D - NC</u> <u>The clearance of indigenous vegetation that does not comply with conditions 1 and 2 of Rule ECO Rule B is a NC activity.</u> And Make any consequential changes to the SUB chapter to ensure that subdivision on any site with indigenous biodiversity undertakes an assessment applying the significance criteria in Appendix 5 of the WRPS. And Incorporate the Threatened Environment Classification by way of reference into the Plan: https://www.landcareresearch.co.nz/tools-and-resources/mapping/threatened-environment-classification/ And Add or Amend the note under HW-R8 to refer to the need to comply with ECO rules, including ECO-RA relating to the clearance of indigenous vegetation for fencing. And Any consequential changes or alternative relief to achieve the relief sought.	Accept
FS19.102	PF Olsen	Oppose		Disallow submission point	Accept

Light Chapter – Submission points

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
47.166	Forest and Bird	Support with amendment	Overview and general comment	<p>Amend the overview of the Light chapter to include an additional sentence to acknowledge the impact of artificial light on indigenous fauna, with this wording or similar:</p> <p><u>Unmodified artificial lighting can also impact indigenous fauna such as long tailed bats, seabirds and insects.</u></p> <p>And</p> <p>Amend the Light chapter to identify appropriate light levels in areas of bat habitat, and in areas known to be (or potentially suitable as) pathways for seabirds coming ashore to nest, or migration pathways. It is recommended that Waitomo DC work with WRC, DOC and other bat and seabird specialists.</p> <p>And</p> <p>Add specific Objectives, Policies and Rules following on from the above.</p> <p>And</p> <p>Add a rule on modifying street lighting to reduce its impact in insects.</p> <p>And</p> <p>Any consequential changes or alternative relief to achieve the relief sought.</p>	Reject
FS26.14	WRC	Support		Amend the overview of the Light chapter as suggested by F&B. Amend objectives, policies and rules in the Light chapter to ensure that impacts of light on indigenous fauna is avoided.	Reject
53.65	DoC	Support with amendments	Introduction	<p>Amend the LIGHT introduction with the following or relief to like effect:</p> <p>If artificial lighting is not properly located, installed and designed it can have adverse effects on people, particularly if it causes sleep disturbance. Poorly designed artificial lighting can also affect traffic safety and <u>wildlife, such as long tailed bats and seabirds.</u></p>	Reject
FS19.49	PF Olsen	Oppose		Disallow submission point	Accept
43.61	Graymont (NZ) Ltd	Support	Light-O1	Retain as notified.	Accept

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
47.167	Forest and Bird	Support with amendment	LIGHT-O1	Amend LIGHT-O1 as follows: ... while managing adverse light spill effects <u>and other impacts on</u> indigenous <u>fauna such as long tailed bats, seabirds and insects.</u> And Any consequential changes or alternative relief to achieve the relief sought.	Reject
53.66	DoC	New	New Objective & Policy	I seek the following or relief to like effect: Retain: LIGHT-O1 LIGHT-O2 Introduce new objective LIGHT-Ox: <u>Artificial outdoor lighting is designed and located to minimise its adverse effects, is compatible with the character and qualities of the surrounding area and protects the values and characteristics of light sensitive areas.</u> Introduce new policy LIGHT-Px: <u>Avoid all artificial outdoor lighting that does not meet the intensity, type, and direction requirements for light sensitive areas unless it is critical for health and safety reasons.</u>	Reject
FS08.17	Graymont (NZ) Ltd	Oppose in part		Disallow	Accept
FS10.72	King Country Energy	Oppose		Disallow	Accept
FS25.35	Ventus Energy	Oppose		Disallow	Accept
47.168	Forest and Bird	Support with amendment	LIGHT-P1	Amend LIGHT-P1 as follows: the health and safety of people, <u>indigenous fauna such as long tailed bats, seabirds and insects,</u> and... And Any consequential changes or alternative relief to achieve the relief sought.	Reject
53.67	DoC	Oppose in part	Rules	I seek the following or relief to like effect: Amend:	Reject

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
			Light Table 1 Light Table 2	<p>LIGHT-R1</p> <p>Add new Rule:</p> <p>Unless specifically stated otherwise, the rules in this table apply to all zones, precincts, all roads, new roads approved by resource consent and activities on the surface of water.</p> <p>Light Sensitive areas: LIGHT-Rx – Emission of artificial light in Light Sensitive Areas</p> <p>Activity Status: PER</p> <p>Where:</p> <p>All of the relevant performance standards in LIGHT Table 3 LIGHT – Table 3 – Performance Standards LIGHT -Rx Emission of Artificial Light in Light Sensitive Area</p> <p>The D-G requests that performance standards should include, at minimum, a requirement that light (lux) be as low as possible (0.1 lux) at the boundary or within any area set aside for bat protection, including any such SNAs and/or corridor, lux level should be in line with the Eurobats Guidelines for consideration of bat in lighting projects. Standards should also manage colour temperature, directing that fixed lighting in the Light Sensitive Area will be white and not exceed 2700 kelvins with as little blue light as possible. All lighting should emit zero upward light, be installed with the light emitting surface directly down and be mounted as low as practical.</p> <p>In accordance with the D-G's recommended definition for 'light sensitive areas' the D-G also requests lighting performance standards appropriate to avoid and mitigate adverse effects on the characteristics and values of SNAs, ONLs, NOSZ. It requested that these standards consider other indigenous biodiversity that are affected by lights such as seabirds.</p> <p>Any other similar, alternative, additional, or consequential relief which will address the matters outlined above.</p>	
FS08.18	Graymont (NZ) Ltd	Oppose in part		Disallow	Accept
FS10.73	King Country Energy	Oppose		Disallow	Accept
FS25.36	Ventus Energy	Oppose		Disallow	Accept

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
FS19.50	PF Olsen	Support in part		Allow submission points as amended by our further submission	Accept
53.07	DoC	New definition	Light Sensitive Area	Insert the following definition or relief to like effect <u>Light Sensitive Area:</u> <u>Includes land in the following areas:</u> <u>Significant Areas Overlay</u> <u>Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay</u> <u>the Natural Open Space Zone.</u> <u>Bat Protection Areas Overlay</u>	Reject
FS08.15	Graymont (NZ) Ltd	Oppose		Disallow	Accept
FS10.57	King Country Energy	Oppose		Disallow	Accept
FS25.17	Ventus Energy	Oppose		Disallow	Accept
FS27.06	Waka Kotahi	Oppose in part		Waka Kotahi seeks further consultation regarding the extent of the new areas	Accept
FS19.28	PF Olsen	Oppose		Disallow submission point	Accept

Appendix 1 – Submission points

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
53.72	DoC	Support with amendment	APP 1.x Information requirements for all applications undertaken within or partially within a Bat Protection Area, where removal of bat roosting habitat is proposed	<p>The D-G seeks the following or relief to like effect: The Bat Management Plan will:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Have an objective specified in the PDP 'information requirements' provisions against which its effectiveness can be measured. • Extend beyond roosting sites and manage effects on foraging and commuting sites to protect the functionality of core bat habitat. • Be prepared by the same suitably qualified ecologist/s to ensure they integrate to achieve the specified objective. • Be peer reviewed by a suitably qualified ecologist. • Consider roosting tree removal as a last resort but include best practice tree removal protocols⁴, and mitigation for any potential trees that have been identified for removal. • Set out how protected, restored or enhanced habitat will link to other areas immediately outside of the application site. It is important that connectivity to the wider landscape is accounted for. • Any other similar, alternative, additional, or consequential relief which will address the matters outlined above. 	Reject
FS12.24	MFMNZL	Oppose in part		<p>Reject submission and support national approaches to development of species management plans and guidance.</p> <p>** wrong submission number quoted in further submission**</p>	Accept
FS19.52	PF Olsen	Oppose		Disallow submission point	Accept
FS21.34	Taharoa Ironsands Ltd	Oppose		Reject the submission point	Accept

Definitions – Submission points

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
53.06	DoC	New definition	Bat Protection Area	Insert Bat Protection Areas as follows or with relief to like effect: <u>Areas of significant habitat that provide the resources and conditions needed for long and/or short tailed bats to remain present, and will include, but may not be limited to areas that provide for breeding, roosting, foraging and commuting.</u>	Reject
FS05.133	Federated Farmers	Oppose		Decline the relief sought	Accept
FS12.18	MFMNZL	Oppose in part		Reject submission OR Amend it to exclude the protection of long tailed bat habitat within exotic plantation forests, given pending national direction.	Accept
FS26.05	WRC	Support		WDC to work with DOC and other submitters to identify appropriate sites as Bat Protection Areas. These areas should receive a Bat Protection Area overlay on the Planning Maps. Activities in the BPA will be subject to provisions that avoid and minimise adverse effects on the bats and their habitat.	Reject
FS27.05	Waka Kotahi	Oppose in part		Waka Kotahi seeks further consultation regarding the extent of the new areas.	Accept
FS18.11	Omya	Oppose		Do not adopt this change	Accept
FS19.27	PF Olsen	Oppose		Disallow submission point	Accept
FS21.31	Taharoa Ironsands Ltd	Oppose		Reject submission point	Accept
47.03	Forest and Bird	Support with amendment	Biodiversity offset	Add the following note to the definition of 'Biodiversity offset': <u>Significant residual effect, means any measurable effect arising from activities after avoidance, remediation, and mitigation measures have been taken.</u>	Accept in part

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
				And Any consequential changes or alternative relief to achieve the relief sought.	
FS19.53	PF Olsen	Oppose		Disallow submission point	Reject
53.03	DoC	Oppose	Biodiversity offsets	Delete the notified definition and insert the following or words to the like effect: <u>biodiversity offset means a measurable conservation outcome that complies with the framework in Appendix 4 and results from actions that: redress any more than minor residual adverse effects on indigenous biodiversity after all appropriate avoidance, minimisation, and remediation measures have been sequentially applied; and achieve a measurable net gain in type, amount, and condition (structure and quality) of indigenous biodiversity compared to that lost.</u>	Accept in part
FS10.56	King Country Energy	Support in part		Disallow	Reject
FS25.16	Ventus Energy	Oppose		Disallow	Reject
02.02	NZHA	Support in part	Conservation activities	Retain the definition and add: (e) The establishment, maintenance or upgrading of public walking/cycle tracks <u>and infrastructure</u> .	Reject
FS13.02	NZAAA	Support		Accept the inclusion as sought	Reject
FS23.01	Te Nehenehenui	Oppose		Te Nehenehenui seeks to enhance the protection and maintenance of its people and taonga within the taiao as guided by Ko Tā Maniapoto Mahere Taiao – Maniapoto’s Environmental Management Plan. Where submission points do not align with this, or have the potential to negatively impact on iwi, hapu, whanau cultural values, sites, the taiao and all taonga within TNN area of interest, TNN opposes and requests that Waitomo District Council consider this when finalising the review.	Accept
04.04	NZAAA	Support in part	Conservation activities	Retain the definition and add: (j) <u>for weed and pest control and the intermittent use of aircraft for</u>	Accept in part

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
				<u>conservation purposes</u>	
FS03.04	DoC	Support		Supports the proposed addition to the definition	Accept in part
FS07.04	Grant Lennox	Support		Allowed	Accept in part
FS23.08	Te Nehenehenui	Oppose		Te Nehenehenui have stated support for other submitters' submission points that may be in conflict with this submission, therefore TNN oppose the points of this submission that are not aligned to our Taiao and cultural values, or those we have noted support for.	Accept in part
10.03	WRC	Support in part	Conservation activities	Amend using the following wording (or similar): (i) Ecosystem protection, rehabilitation or restoration works including removing plant pests for <u>the management of a nuisance plant or animal species that is impacting on the values of a site or area as identified in the Waikato Regional Pest Management Plan</u> and riparian fencing, including crossings and their approaches that are consented, permitted or otherwise authorised by Waikato Regional Council.	Accept in part
FS03.12	DoC	Support in part		Allow the intent of the relief which focuses the subclause on maintaining and enhancing the values of the significant site or area, but wording should be refined.	Accept in part
12.04	Heli A1 Limited	Support in part	Conservation activities	Retain the definition and add: <u>(j) weed and pest control and the intermittent use of aircraft for conservation purposes.</u>	Accept in part
FS13.26	NZAAA	Support		Retain and add to the definition as sought	Accept in part
FS15.08	NZHA	Support		Retain and add to the definition as sought	Accept in part
18.02	AWFG	Support	Conservation activities	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
46.10	Federated Farmers	Support with amendment	Conservation activities	Amend the definition of 'conservation activities' to include the control and / or removal of any plants and animals that detract from the indigenous biodiversity values. And	Accept

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
				any consequential amendments required as a result of the relief sought.	
FS19.158	PF Olsen	Support		Allow submission point	Accept
47.04	Forest and Bird	Support with amendment	Conservation activities	<p>Amend the definition of conservation activities as follows:</p> <p>...For the avoidance of doubt, the following activities are conservation activities:</p> <p>(a) Conservation <u>Restoration</u> planting <u>using indigenous plants of the same ecological district.</u></p> <p>The restoration of wetlands and the margins of water bodies.</p> <p>Stock exclusion.</p> <p>(d) Research and monitoring <u>where whole plants are not removed and habitat is not disturbed.</u></p> <p>(e) The establishment, maintenance or upgrading of public walking/cycle tracks.</p> <p>(f) Interpretive signs and directional signs <u>where within an SNA whole plants are not removed and habitat is not disturbed.</u></p> <p>(g) Any Department of Conservation or Fish and Game New Zealand structure or building for visitor purposes or staff accommodation on public conservation land.</p> <p>Underground structures on Crown land.</p> <p>(i) Ecosystem protection, rehabilitation or restoration works including removing plant pests <u>or the management of a nuisance plant or animal species that is impacting on the biodiversity values of a site or area</u> as identified in the Waikato Regional Pest Management Plan and riparian fencing, including crossings and their approaches that are consented, permitted or otherwise authorised by Waikato Regional Council.</p> <p>And</p> <p>Any consequential changes or alternative relief to achieve the relief sought.</p>	<p>Reject</p> <p>Accept in part amendments to (i) only</p>

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
FS03.98	DoC	Oppose in part		Disallow in part	Accept in part
FS05.56	Federated Farmers	Oppose		Decline the relief sought	Accept
FS19.54	PF Olsen	Oppose		Disallow submission point	Accept
53.04	DoC	Support in part	Conservation activities	<p>Amend the definition as follows:</p> <p>Means any activity that involves the preservation and protection of indigenous habitat, flora and fauna that fundamentally benefits indigenous biodiversity and safeguards it for future generations. For the avoidance of doubt, the following activities are conservation activities:</p> <p>Conservation planting.</p> <p>The restoration of wetlands and the margins of water bodies.</p> <p>Stock exclusion.</p> <p>Research and monitoring.</p> <p>The establishment, maintenance or upgrading of public walking/cycle tracks.</p> <p>Interpretive signs and directional signs.</p> <p>Any Department of Conservation or Fish and Game New Zealand structure or building for visitor purposes or staff accommodation on public conservation land.</p> <p>Underground structures on Crown land.</p> <p>Ecosystem protection, rehabilitation or restoration works including removing plant pests as identified in the Waikato Regional Pest Management Plan and riparian fencing, including crossings and their approaches that are consented, permitted or otherwise authorised by Waikato Regional Council.</p> <p><u>(j) Any use of aircraft by the Department of Conservation.</u></p>	Accept in part
FS13.70	NZAAA	Support		Retain and amend as sought	Accept in part

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
FS15.52	NZHA	Support		Retain the amend as sought	Accept in part
47.198	Forest and Bird	Amend	Conservation planting	Add a definition for 'conservation planting' or refer to 'restoration planting'. And Any consequential changes or alternative relief to achieve the relief sought.	Reject
53.02	DoC	New definition	Effects Management Hierarchy	I seek the following or relief to like effect Insert a new definition of 'Effects Management Hierarchy' which is generally consistent with the draft National Policy Statement for Indigenous Biodiversity (NPS-IB). <u>The effects management hierarchy is an approach to managing the adverse effects of an activity. It requires that:</u> <u>adverse effects are avoided where practicable; and</u> <u>where adverse effects cannot be demonstrably avoided, they are minimised where practicable; and</u> <u>where adverse effects cannot be demonstrably minimised, they are remedied where practicable; and</u> <u>where more than minor residual adverse effects cannot be demonstrably avoided, minimised, or remedied, biodiversity offsetting is provided where possible; and</u> <u>where biodiversity offsetting of more than minor residual adverse effects is not demonstrably possible, biodiversity compensation is provided; and</u> <u>if biodiversity compensation is not appropriate, the activity itself is avoided.</u>	Reject
FS10.55	King Country Energy	Support in part		Disallow	Accept
FS25.15	Ventus Energy	Oppose		Disallow	Accept
FS19.26	PF Olsen	Oppose		Disallow submission point	Accept
53.05	DoC	Oppose	Environmental	Delete the notified definition and insert the following or words to like	Accept


Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
			Compensation	effect: <u>Biodiversity compensation means a conservation outcome that complies with the principles in Appendix 4 and results from actions that are intended to compensate for any more than minor residual adverse effects on indigenous biodiversity after all appropriate avoidance, minimisation, remediation, and biodiversity offset measures have been sequentially applied.</u>	
18.01	AWFG	Support	Maimai	Retain as notified.	Accept
21.08	New Zealand Defence Force (NZDF)	Amend	Motorised craft	Add new definition for 'motorised craft'.	Reject
24.08	Ministry of Education (MoE)	Support	Outdoor education activities	Retain as notified.	Accept
53.11	DoC	New definition	Significant Natural Area	Insert the following definition or relief to like effect: <u>Means:</u> <u>identified areas of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna, as set out in SCHED 6 and shown on the Planning Maps; or</u> <u>areas that have been assessed as an area of significant indigenous vegetation or significant habitat of indigenous fauna in accordance with the criteria set out in WRPS APP5</u>	Reject
FS05.135	Federated Farmers	Oppose		Decline the relief sought	Accept
FS12.20	MFMNZL	Oppose		Reject submission for new definition of 'Significantly Natural Areas'	Accept
FS18.12	Omya	Neutral		No specific outcome sought however Omya would like to be engaged in the outcome/decision of this submission point.	Accept
FS19.31	PF Olsen	Oppose		Disallow submission point	Accept
47.05	Forest and Bird	New	Vegetation clearance or removal	Add a new definition for 'Vegetation clearance or removal' as follows: <u>Vegetation clearance or removal means the clearing or removal or destruction of indigenous or exotic vegetation by any means, including cutting, crushing, smothering, cultivation, irrigation, chemical application,</u>	Reject

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
				<u>drainage, stopbanking, overplanting, or burning.</u> <u>Indigenous vegetation clearance has the same meaning as applies to native vegetation</u> And Any consequential changes or alternative relief to achieve the relief sought.	
FS12.01	MFMNZL	Oppose		Reject submission for new definition 'Vegetation Clearance or Removal'	Accept
FS19.55	PF Olsen	Oppose		Disallow submission point	Accept

Schedule 6 and Maps – Submission points

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
06.01	Tim Stokes	Delete	SCHED6	Requests the removal of R160P040 from SCHED6.	Referred to ecologist Accept in part
FS05.23	Federated Farmers	Support		Grant the relief sought	Accept in part
FS23.15	Te Nehenehenui	Delete		Support/oppose on the provision does not conflict with manawhenua, iwi hapu, cultural values or other submission points TNN supports	Accept in part
06.02	Tim Stokes	Amend	SCHED6	Request removal of criteria number 5 from R16UP040.	Referred to ecologist Accept in part
FS05.24	Federated Farmers	Support		Grant the relief sought	Accept in part
FS23.16	Te Nehenehenui	Amend		Support/oppose on the provision does not conflict with manawhenua, iwi hapu, cultural values or other submission points TNN supports	Accept in part
10.155	WRC	Amend	SCHED6	Change the title for the final column of the table in Schedule 6 from 'WRC Karst' to 'Top 58 Karst SNA.'	Reject
06.03	Tim Stokes	Amend	Map 4	Requests amendment to the northern boundary of R16U046.	This matter will be addressed in the natural features and landscapes chapter
FS05.25	Federated Farmers	Support		Grant the relief sought	-
FS23.17	Te Nehenehenui	Delete		Support/oppose on the provision does not conflict with manawhenua, iwi hapu, cultural values or other submission points TNN supports	-

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation																								
10.156	WRC	Oppose	SCHED6	<p>Include the three sites listed below Schedule 6.</p> <p>Three sites missing from the table in Schedule 6:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Site Code</th><th>Site Name</th><th>Criteria</th><th>Significance</th><th>Current text of the WRC Karst</th><th>WRC Comments</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>R16P1708 9.01</td><td>Taumatotora AS North (NWR)</td><td>1, 3, 5, 9, 11</td><td>National</td><td>Taumatotora karst and dolines</td><td>Add 'Marakopa River natural tunnel and Te Ana Kapiti Cave' to WRC Karst column (See figure 1)</td></tr> <tr> <td>R17074</td><td>Marginal Strip - Mangasongo Stream</td><td>1, 3, 4, 5, 9, 11</td><td>Regional</td><td>Mangasongo Gorge and natural bridges</td><td>Add 'Ten Acre Tupo system' to WRC Karst column (See figure 2)</td></tr> <tr> <td>16UP074 02</td><td></td><td>1, 3, 5, 7, 8, 9, 11</td><td>National</td><td>Rukuhui Cave</td><td>Add 'Lake Rotokawau' to WRC Karst column (See figure 3)</td></tr> </tbody> </table>	Site Code	Site Name	Criteria	Significance	Current text of the WRC Karst	WRC Comments	R16P1708 9.01	Taumatotora AS North (NWR)	1, 3, 5, 9, 11	National	Taumatotora karst and dolines	Add 'Marakopa River natural tunnel and Te Ana Kapiti Cave' to WRC Karst column (See figure 1)	R17074	Marginal Strip - Mangasongo Stream	1, 3, 4, 5, 9, 11	Regional	Mangasongo Gorge and natural bridges	Add 'Ten Acre Tupo system' to WRC Karst column (See figure 2)	16UP074 02		1, 3, 5, 7, 8, 9, 11	National	Rukuhui Cave	Add 'Lake Rotokawau' to WRC Karst column (See figure 3)	<p>Additions referred to ecologist noting Resource Management (Freshwater and Other Matters) Amendment Bill 2024</p> <p><u>Reject</u></p>
Site Code	Site Name	Criteria	Significance	Current text of the WRC Karst	WRC Comments																								
R16P1708 9.01	Taumatotora AS North (NWR)	1, 3, 5, 9, 11	National	Taumatotora karst and dolines	Add 'Marakopa River natural tunnel and Te Ana Kapiti Cave' to WRC Karst column (See figure 1)																								
R17074	Marginal Strip - Mangasongo Stream	1, 3, 4, 5, 9, 11	Regional	Mangasongo Gorge and natural bridges	Add 'Ten Acre Tupo system' to WRC Karst column (See figure 2)																								
16UP074 02		1, 3, 5, 7, 8, 9, 11	National	Rukuhui Cave	Add 'Lake Rotokawau' to WRC Karst column (See figure 3)																								
10.157	WRC	Oppose	SCHED6	<p>Include Criterion 5 from the WRPS Table 28 (Criteria for determining significance of indigenous biodiversity) in the 'Criteria' column for the following sites: • R16092 • R16094.02 • 16P17037 • 16UP055.04 • 1870439.03 • 16UP074.01 • 17UP143.01 The listed sites are karst ecosystems and therefore, criterion 5 from Table 28 in the WRPS applies and should be recognised in Schedule 6</p>	<p>Referred to ecologist</p> <p><u>Accept</u></p>																								
FS03.56	DoC	Support		Allow	<u>Accept</u>																								
10.158	WRC	Oppose	SCHED6	<p>Include the 'Description of Values' column in Schedule 6 and reformat the information in this column so that it is easier to read and has a consistent layout.</p> <p>Alternatively, include an advice note above the table in Schedule 6 stating that further information relating to the biodiversity values of each site is available on request, including a link to the approach for requesting this information.</p>	Accept																								
10.166	WRC	Amend	General comment	Publish the 'Significant Natural Areas' data layer, including the significance levels, on the Waikato Data portal :Waikato Data Portal (waikatodistrict.govt.nz)	Reject																								

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
10.160	WRC	Amend	SCHED6	<p>Include the site identified as a green polygon in the image below in Schedule 6.</p> 	<p>Addition referred to ecologist noting Resource Management (Freshwater and Other Matters) Amendment Bill 2024</p> <p>Reject</p>
FS03.57	DoC	Support		Allow	Reject
15.01	Jeff Littin	Amend	SCHED6 – R17001	Requests that the SNA boundaries be reviewed and redefined.	<p>Referred to ecologist</p> <p>Reject</p>
47.191	Forest and Bird	Support	SCHED6	<p>Amend Schedule 6 Significant Natural Areas to include an advice note above the table alerting plan users that further information on biodiversity values for each site is available on request, and that a full assessment of values should be undertaken as part of a consent application process.</p> <p>To read: <u>Within and beyond Schedule 6 areas, other criteria that are specifically listed against identified SNAs may also be met that were not apparent when significance assessments for Schedule 6 were undertaken.</u></p> <p>And</p> <p>Any consequential changes or alternative relief to achieve the relief sought.</p>	Accept in part
FS19.103	PF Olsen	Oppose		Disallow submission point	Accept in part
51.51	KiwiRail Holdings Ltd	Support with amendment	SCHED6/Maps	That the Proposed District Plan Maps are Amended to remove the Significant Natural Area overlay from KiwiRail's corridor designations (as listed under "KR 01 in the Designations schedule).	<p>Referred to ecologist</p> <p>Accept in part</p>

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
FS03.123	DoC	Oppose		Disallow	Accept in part
FS26.16	WRC	Oppose		The submission point is not adopted	Accept in part
FS23.251	Te Nehenehenui	Not stated		<p>Te Nehenehenui promotes and encourages direct engagement with Mana whenua. In instances where a mana whenua grouping cannot be contacted or consulted within the Te Nehenehenui area of interest,</p> <p>TNN has an obligation to represent its people and taonga to ensure that the integrity of their mana and mauri is maintained, upheld, and not undermined.</p> <p>Te Nehenehenui seeks to enhance the protection and maintenance of its people and taonga within the taiao as guided by Ko Tā Maniapoto Mahere Taiao – Maniapoto’s Environmental Management Plan. Where submitters’ submission points do not align with this, or have the potential to negatively impact on iwi, hapu, whanau cultural values, sites, and all taonga within Te Nehenehenui area of interest, TNN opposes and requests that Waitomo District Council consider this when finalising the review.</p> <p>If submitters submission points do align to enhance the protection and maintenance of its people and all taonga within the taiao and the Te Nehenehenui area of interest, TNN is in support.</p>	Accept in part
26.02	Waitomo District Council	Support with amendment	Significant Natural Areas R16UP0066	Amend the extent of the boundaries of SNA R16UP0066.	Referred to ecologist Accept
42.29	Ventus Energy	Oppose	Significant Natural Areas	Amend all maps to more accurately detail the location of SNA’s.	Submitter has indicated they will provide a report on this matter from an experienced ecologist No further evidence provided. Reject
FS03.78	DoC	Support in part		Allow	Reject
FS23.174	Te Nehenehenui	Oppose		Oppose where the activity impacts sites and areas of significance to Māori and significant archaeological sites, iwi, hapu and mana whenua cultural values must be provided for	Accept

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
47.195	Forest and Bird	Support with amendment	General comment	Amend the maps to make the Significant Natural Areas layer more widely accessible than just on the WDP online GIS maps. And Any consequential changes or alternative relief to achieve the relief sought.	Reject
47.196	Forest and Bird	Support	General comment	Retain on maps the site description and justification information for SNAs.	Accept
49.07	Taharoa Holdings Ltd	Oppose	R16UP014.01- Lake Rotoroa R16UP015 – no description R16UP015.01 - Lake Numiti R16UP015.01 - Lake Rototapu Wetland R16UP002 - Lake Taharoa R17UP183 - Coastal Strip	TIL seeks the following relief: (i) Deletion of all SNAs directly on or immediately adjacent to the Taharoa C Block including but not limited to SNA refs R16UP014.01, R16UP015, R16UP015.01, R16UP015.01, R16UP00, and R17UP183. Any alternative and/or consequential amendments to the same effect as the primary relief sought above.	Referred to ecologist Accept – See Joint Witness Statement 12 November 2024
FS03.121	DoC	Oppose		Disallow	Reject – See Joint Witness Statement 12 November 2024
FS23.244	Te Nehenehenui	Oppose		Te Nehenehenui seeks to enhance the protection and maintenance of its people and taonga within the taiao as guided by Ko Tā Maniapoto Mahere Taiao – Maniapoto’s Environmental Management Plan. Where submission points do not align with this, or have the potential to negatively impact on iwi, hapu, whanau cultural values, sites, and all taonga within TNN area of interest, TNN opposes submission points of this	Reject – See Joint Witness Statement 12 November 2024

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
				nature and requests that Waitomo District Council consider this when finalising the PDP review.	
FS28.04	Roy Wetini	Not stated		<p>(i) Disallow relief sought by TIL and retain SNAs as notified. These should remain on the Proposed Plan until such time as TIL provides sufficient evidence to WDC which may require changes and/ or more specific descriptions or location of these sites.</p> <p>(ii) Disallow for the above reasons</p>	Reject – See Joint Witness Statement 12 November 2024

APP4 Biodiversity offsetting framework – Submission points

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
47.192	Forest and Bird	Oppose with amendment	General	<p>Delete Appendix 4 wording and replace with the following:</p> <p><u>The following sets out principles for the use of biodiversity offsets. These principles represent a standard for biodiversity offsetting and must be complied with for an action to qualify as a biodiversity offset:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <u>Adherence to effects management hierarchy:</u> A biodiversity offset is a commitment to redress any more than minor residual adverse effects and should be contemplated only after steps to avoid, remedy, or mitigate adverse effects are demonstrated to have been sequentially exhausted. <u>Limits to biodiversity offsetting:</u> Many biodiversity values cannot be offset and if they are adversely affected then they will be permanently lost. Examples of where an offset would be inappropriate include where: (a) residual adverse effects cannot be offset because of the irreplaceability or vulnerability of the indigenous biodiversity affected; (b) effects on indigenous biodiversity that are uncertain, unknown, or little understood, but potential effects are significantly adverse; (c) there are no technically feasible options by which to secure gains within acceptable timeframe. <u>No net loss and preferably a net gain:</u> The biodiversity values to be lost through the activity to which the offset applies are counterbalanced which is at least commensurate or exceeded by the proposed offsetting activity, so that the result is no net and preferably a net gain when compared to that loss. No net loss and net gain are measured by type, amount and condition at the impact site and offset site and is subject to an explicit loss and gain calculation. <u>Additionality:</u> A biodiversity offset must achieve gains in indigenous biodiversity above and beyond gains that would have occurred in the absence of the offset, including that gains are additional to any remediation and mitigation undertaken in relation to the adverse effects of the activity. Offset design and implementation must avoid displacing activities harmful to indigenous biodiversity to other locations. 	Accept in part

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
				<p>5. <u>Like for Like:</u> The ecological values being gained at the offset site are the same as those being lost at the impact site across types of indigenous biodiversity, amount of indigenous biodiversity (including condition), over time and spatial context.</p> <p>6. <u>Landscape context:</u> Biodiversity offset actions must be undertaken where this will result in the best ecological outcome, preferably close to the location of development or within the same ecological district, and must consider the landscape context of both the impact site and the offset site, taking into account interaction between species habitats and ecosystem, spatial connections and ecosystem function.</p> <p>7. <u>Long-term outcomes:</u> The biodiversity offset must be managed to secure outcomes of the activity that last at least as long as the impacts, and preferably in perpetuity.</p> <p>8. <u>Time lags:</u> The delay between loss of indigenous biodiversity at the impact site and gain or maturity of indigenous biodiversity at the offset site must be minimised so that gains are achieved within the consent period.</p> <p>9. <u>Trading up:</u> When trading up forms part of an offset, the proposal must demonstrate that the indigenous biodiversity values gained are demonstrably of higher value than those lost, and the values lost are not indigenous taxa that are listed as Threatened, At-Risk or Data deficient in the New Zealand Threat Classification System list, or considered vulnerable or irreplaceable.</p> <p>10. <u>Offset in advance:</u> A biodiversity offset developed in advance of an application for resource consent must provide a clear link between the offset and the future effect. That is, the offset can be shown to have been created or commenced in anticipation of the specific effect and would not have occurred if that effect were not anticipated.</p> <p>11. <u>Proposing a biodiversity offset:</u> A proposed biodiversity offset must include a specific biodiversity offset management plan.</p>	Accept in part

Submission No	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan Provision	Relief Sought	Recommendation
				<p><u>12. Science and mātauranga Māori : The design and implementation of a biodiversity offset must be a documented process informed by science, including an appropriate consideration of mātauranga Māori.</u></p> <p><u>13 Stakeholder participation: Opportunity for the effective participation of stakeholders should be provided when planning for biodiversity offsets, including their evaluation, selection, design, implementation and monitoring. Stakeholders are best engaged early in the offset process.</u></p> <p><u>14 Transparency: The design and implementation of a biodiversity offset and communication of its results to the public should be undertaken in a transparent and timely manner. This includes transparency of the loss and gain calculation and the data that informs a biodiversity offset.</u></p> <p>And</p> <p>Any consequential changes or alternative relief to achieve the relief sought.</p>	Accept in part
FS03.120	DoC	Support		Allow	Accept in part
FS26.15	WRC	Support with amendments		Amend Appendix 4 so that it is consistent with the framework outlined in Appendix 3 of the NPS-IB.	Accept in part
FS19.104	PF Olsen	Oppose		Disallow submission point	Reject
FS23.240	Te Nehenehenui	Support and oppose in part		Amend to include Mātauranga Māori expertise is mandatory within such processes that involve taonga and the taiao. Mana whenua, hapu, marae involvement and engagement encouraged	Accept in part
53.73	DoC	Support in part	APP 4 – Biodiversity Offsetting Framework	<p>The D-G seeks the following or relief to like effect:</p> <p>Revise APP 4 to APP4a – Biodiversity offsetting and APP4b Biodiversity Compensation to be in line with the good practice principles as set out in appendices 3 and 4 of the NPS-IB exposure draft.</p> <p>Any other similar, alternative, additional, or consequential relief which will address the matters outlined above.</p>	Accept