

# SUBMISSION FORM

**FULL NAME** JANICE MOYNIHAN

**ORGANISATION (If appropriate)**

**PHONE** [REDACTED] **POSTAL ADDRESS** [REDACTED]

**EMAIL** [REDACTED]

**DATE** 3.5.2018

**SIGNATURE** J. Moynihan

Please tick as appropriate. If neither of the boxes are ticked, it will be considered that you do not wish to be heard.

**I WISH TO SPEAK TO COUNCIL ABOUT MY SUBMISSION**  **I DO NOT WISH TO SPEAK IN SUPPORT OF MY SUBMISSION**

**PLEASE REMOVE THESE SUBMISSION PAGES (25-28) AND RETURN TO WDC BY 5PM, FRIDAY MAY 4<sup>TH</sup> 2018.**  
**FREEPOST 112498**  
**WAITOMO DISTRICT COUNCIL**  
**QUEEN STREET, PO BOX 404, TE KUITI 3941**

## INDICATE WHICH OPTION YOU SUPPORT FOR OUR SIX PROPOSALS:

### 1 SAFE COMMUNITIES

Council proposes the local establishment of an accredited Safe Community programme using the Safe Communities model. Refer page 9.

Which option do you choose?

#### OPTION 1 (PREFERRED OPTION)

Council should pursue Safe Communities accreditation to support the development of our communities.

OPTION 1

#### OPTION 2:

Council should not pursue the safe communities accreditation.

OPTION 2

#### OPTION 3:

Council should make the Safe Communities initiative an even greater priority and allocate more funding towards it?

OPTION 3

MY COMMENT:

1

all the community stakeholders have financial/physical constraints on them by Central Government and at this time + looking forward we need to continue as we are, no doubt in the future we can look at Safe Communities again if we need too. Feel this is a "would like to have" but do we need it right now? Let's see how this Government handles things especially Funding for all of the Stakeholders concerned. We can revisit this a few years down the track.

PLEASE NOTE: Submissions received on the 2018-28 Long Term Plan, will be made publicly available as required by the Local Government Act 2002 and subject to the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987. This will include name and address of submitters.

# SUBMISSION FORM

## 2 NORTH KING COUNTRY SPORTS AND RECREATION CENTRE

The Game on Charitable Trust (GoCT) proposes the development of the North King Country Indoor Sport and Recreation Centre (the Sports Centre) in Te Kuiti. Council has been invited to provide funding for that development. Refer pages 10-11.

Which option do you choose?

### OPTION 1 (PREFERRED OPTION)

Council should provide a maximum capital funding grant to the GoCT of \$1.5 million, with \$1 million provided in 2018/19 year and \$500,000 in 2019/20 under the proviso that if the GoCT are able to source additional funding from other benefactors then Council would contribute less?

OPTION 1

### OPTION 2:

Council should not provide any capital funding for the sports centre.

OPTION 2

## 4 RATES REMISSION POLICY

We are proposing to establish a new remission category that provides rates remission of up to 50% for one year to new businesses or new developments established within the past 12 months. Council's objective in establishing this remission category is to promote employment and economic development within the District.

We are also proposing a new remission category that provides relief to properties that have been detrimentally affected by erosion, subsidence, submersion, earthquake or other calamities. The objective of establishing this remission category is to assist ratepayers affected by events outside of their control and as the consequence of a natural calamity. Refer page 13.

Council should introduce the new categories for remission into the Rates Remission Policy.

Yes  No

### 4 Rates Remission:

\* Have concerns over Rates Remission for new businesses, rates are always going to be a business expense, should expect to pay at the beginning as the business has or will not be based on sound financial footing in the first place. Should be included in financial forecasts or budgets.  
\* Land Calamity: used to be an act of God, so you were covered especially insurance. So I believe it would be prudent by Council to be able to invoke a new policy to cover these people in their time of need.

## 3 ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

Council plans to participate and become a member of the proposed Waikato Regional Economic Development Agency (WREDA), and the South Waikato economic initiative to develop a strategy and action plan for the economic development of the South Waikato sub-region to get the best possible return for our investment and to take advantage of the opportunity as part of a bigger collective. Refer page 12.

Do you support Council's proposal to participate in the WREDA and the South Waikato economic initiative? (our preferred option)

Yes  No

MY COMMENT:

2 My concerns over this issue would be in relation to numbers of students, forecast numbers going forward for 10 yrs, Will the High School / Sports + Recreation Centre be required. As far as a commercial gym goes let them find/fund/build a suitable facility at their own cost

### 3 Economic Development.

Joining with Otorohanga / South Waikato district will have its advantages, especially sharing info + data, resources etc. I agree with this proposed option.

### 5 PUBLIC TOILETS AT MOKAU AND WAITOMO VILLAGE

Council is proposing to upgrade the Mokau toilets to more appropriately meet user needs in the 2018/19 financial year (first year of the Long Term Plan).

Council is proposing to invest in public toilet facility at Waitomo Village in 2019/20. However, this will be subject to land ownership being vested to Council for such a facility.

The estimated cost of the two facilities will require an investment of approximately \$320,000 each. Refer page 14.

Which option do you choose?

#### OPTION 1 (PREFERRED OPTION)

Council should apply for external funding from the Tourism Infrastructure Fund (TIF) to cover 50% of the cost of these facilities.

If funding is obtained then proceed with the upgrade at Mokau in 2018/19 and new toilets at Waitomo Village in 2019/20.

OPTION 1

#### OPTION 2:

Council should not proceed with providing the new and upgraded facilities even if the external funding is obtained.

OPTION 2

MY COMMENT:

This is high priority not only for WDC but for Mokau. The current facility is totally inadequate. The usage is phenomenal. The Smell from current toilets is putrid!  
\* But please communicate with the community to get their ideas, thoughts etc. This will be another hot potato if WDC don't communicate as happened with the Transfer Station.

NEED MORE SPACE? Please attach additional paper with your name, phone number and page number on each sheet.

THIS SUBMISSION FORM CAN BE COMPLETED ONLINE AT: [www.waitomo.govt.nz/shapeWaitomo](http://www.waitomo.govt.nz/shapeWaitomo)

### 6 FUNDING WATER AND SEWERAGE SERVICES

- Council is of the view that the entire District benefits from adequate and environmentally sound Water and Wastewater services and
- these services should cost the same in each community. Refer pages 15-16.

Which option do you choose?

#### OPTION 1 (PREFERRED OPTION)

Council should implement the 'same service same rate' proposal phased in over four years (for water) and include 10% district benefit rate for all rating units across the district.

Under this option the water and sewerage assistance subsidies currently paid by all rating units in the district would be replaced with the district benefit allocation and the Piopio Wider Benefit Rating Area (PWBRA) sewerage rate would be removed.

OPTION 1

#### OPTION 2:

Council should stay with the current funding system which is - you pay for water and sewerage based on where you live and all rating units in the district pay an assistance rate for these services in smaller communities and PWBRA. Sewerage rate remains.

OPTION 2

MY COMMENT:

A fairer system as each community will not be burdened with such a high cost, when work (replacement etc) is required, And Ratepayers resist such a rate hike. Good idea, really in favour.