From:	donotreply@intergen.net.nz
Sent:	Monday, 16 April 2018 6:13 PM
To:	Consultation
Subject:	WDC Web Submission

FullName: John Robertson
Organisation:
PhoneNumber: ████████████
PostalAddress: ██████████████████████████ Piopio
Email: john@strategicprojects.co.nz
Speak_to_Council_Hearing: Yes_speak

PROPOSAL_1_SAFE_COMMUNITIES: I support Option 2 for proposal 1
SAFE_COMMUNITIES_COMMENTS: Given its high debt and rate levels, WDC does not have the
capacity to back initiatives like this that require such funding. It needs to focus on core services.

PROPOSAL_2_NORTH_KC_SPORTS: I support option 2 for proposal 2
NORTH_KC_COMMENTS: Given its high debt and rate levels, WDC needs to be cautious about
investing in projects like this and focus on core services. Council and the public need to see a full
business plan for this project, so an informed decision can be made.

PROPOSAL_3_ECONOMIC_DEVELOPMENT: NO. I do not support Council's proposal 3 Economic Dec
ECONOMIC_DEV_COMMENTS: Given its high debt and rate levels, WDC needs to constrain costs like
these and focus on core services.

PROPOSAL_4_RATES_REMISSION: NO. I do not support Council's proposal 4
RATES_REMMISSION_COMMENTS: Better to bring overall rates down rather than introduce targeted

remission policies like this.
PROPOSAL_5_PUBLIC_TOILETS: I support option 1 for proposal 5

PUBLIC_TOILET_COMMENTS:
PROPOSAL_6_FUNDING_WATER_SEWERAGE:
FUNDING_WATER_SEW_COMMENTS: There are pros and cons for both options.