

Historic Heritage – Submission points in order of plan provision

Submission no	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan provision	Relief sought	Recommendation
03.59	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (NZHPT)	Support in part	HH-O1	That HH-O1 is retained, subject to the following amendment: "The contribution that historic heritage makes to Waitomo district and its communities is conserved and protected for future generations."	Reject
03.60	NZHPT	Support	HH-P1	That HH-P1 is retained	Accept
03.61	NZHPT	Support	HH-P2	That HH-P2 is retained.	Accept
03.62	NZHPT	Support	HH-P3	That HH-P3 is retained	Accept
03.63	NZHPT	Support in part	HH-P4	HNZPT seeks the retention of HH-P4, subject to the following amendment: "Recognise benefits from earthquake strengthening, fire protection and accessibility upgrades whilst ensuring the appearance including views of and through windows, and external heritage features and values of the buildings and structures are not unduly compromised. Designs which consider complementary materials and detailing and do not screen architectural features are preferred."	Reject
FS05.09	Federated Farmers	Oppose		Decline the relief sought	Accept
03.64	NZHPT	Support in part	HH-P5	HNZPT seeks the retention of HH-P5 subject to the following amendments: "Provide for additions and external alterations to buildings and structures where they are: 1. Consistent with the scale, detailing, style, materials and character of the heritage item; and 2. Retain cultural and heritage values; and 3. Does not unduly compromise the site or surroundings of the building or structure including the contribution the building or structure makes to the streetscape. Whilst recognising the benefits gained from the addition or alteration to the improved functionality and/or liveability of the building or structure.	Reject
FS05.10	Federated Farmers	Oppose		Decline the relief sought	Accept

Submission no	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan provision	Relief sought	Recommendation
03.65	NZHPT	Support	HH-P6	That HH-P6 is retained.	Accept
03.66	NZHPT	Support in part	HH-P7	That HH-P7 is retained subject to the following amendments: "Enable interpretive signs that explain the stories and significance of the building or structure to the community and district, providing such signs <u>are not attached to a building and</u> do not compromise the values of the scheduled building or structure <u>by being of a size and scale commensurate to the context, setting and place.</u> "	Reject
03.67	NZHPT	Support	HH-P8	That HH-P8 is retained.	Accept
03.68	NZHPT	Support in part	HH-P9	That HH-P9 is retained subject to the following amendments: "Ensure new or relocated buildings or structures located within the site or surroundings of a building or structure are of similar materials and detailing and do not obscure windows and architectural features. New or relocated buildings should be of a smaller scale. located to the rear of any building or structure	Accept
03.69	NZHPT	Support in part	HH-P10	That HH-P10 is retained and amended as follows: "The site or surroundings (as applicable) of any heritage building or structure must be protected to the extent that it contributes to the heritage values <u>of the heritage place.</u> "	Reject
03.70	NZHPT	Support	HH-P11	That HH-P11 is retained.	Accept
03.71	NZHPT	Support in part	HH-P12	HNZPT seeks the retention of HH-12, subject to the following amendments: "Buildings or structures should not be relocated unless: 1. Alternatives to relocation have been investigated, and 2. There is significant community benefit, and the building is restored; and/or 3. The building or structure has fallen into significant disrepair and will be restored on its new site because restoration is not economic on its existing site; and/or 4 Relocation of the building or structure allows for improved longevity or structural safety; and 5 Relocation of buildings and structures within the same community, <u>and</u> occurs where possible.	Reject

Submission no	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan provision	Relief sought	Recommendation
				6. <u>Development post relocation will be completed in a reasonable timeframe.</u>	
03.72	NZHPT	Support	HH-P13	That HH-P13 is retained.	Accept
03.73	NZHPT	Support	HH-P14	That HH-P14 is retained.	Accept
03.74	NZHPT	Support	HH-P15	That HH-P15 is retained.	Accept
03.75	NZHPT	Support	HH-P16	That HH-P16 is retained.	Accept
03.76	NZHPT	Oppose	HH-P17	That HH-P17 is amended as follows: Ensure activities on or adjoining significant archaeological sites avoid adverse effects on the site. In the first instance, and where avoidance is not possible, remedy or mitigate adverse effects, having regard to: 1. Protecting the cultural, and archaeological values present and their setting; and 2. Reducing the potential to lose or damage cultural and archaeological values; and 3. Providing the ability to interpret the place and its relationship with other scheduled features; and 4. The site's sensitivity to change or capacity to accommodate change without compromising any cultural and archaeological values; and 5. Any opportunities to enhance interpretation of the significant archaeological site."	Accept in part
FS05.11	Federated Farmers	Oppose		Decline the relief sought	Reject
FS22.02	Chorus, Spark, One NZ, Connexa and FortySouth	Oppose		Disallow the submission	Reject
FS23.05	Te Nehenehenui	Oppose		Earthworks in sites and areas of significance to Māori including Māori archaeological sites should be classified as a non-complying activity at a minimum as earthworks do not align to Maniapoto cultural values. Ensuring mechanisms and protocols are in place before conducting earthworks in/ on these areas are critical	Reject
03.77	NZHPT	Support	HH-R1	That the permitted activity HH-R1 is retained.	Accept

Submission no	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan provision	Relief sought	Recommendation
03.78	NZHPT	Support in part	HH-R2	That the permitted activity HH-R2 is retained, subject to the following amendment: "Internal alterations, including earthquake strengthening, fire protection and accessibility upgrades <u>that do not obstruct views of and through windows.</u> "	Accept
03.79	NZHPT	Oppose	HH-R3	That the controlled activity status is amended to a restricted discretionary activity with appropriate matters to which restrict discretion.	Reject
03.80	NZHPT	Support	HH-R4	That the controlled activity status and associated matters of control are retained.	Accept
03.81	NZHPT	Support in part	HH-R5	That HH-R5 and the Cat 1-Discretionary activity Cat 2- Restricted discretionary and matters over which discretion should be reserved are retained subject to the following amendment to the Cat 2, Activity status, matters over which discretion is reserved: "(a) Effects on the heritage values of the structure including whether the alteration or addition is compatible with the scale, form, proportions and materials of the structure, and whether any architectural features will be removed or obscured; and <u>(aa) the extent to which the proposed addition or alteration is reversible, and</u> (b) Effects on the views of the scheduled structure from public spaces; and (c) The benefits obtained from the addition or alteration including increasing the sustainability, functionality and/or liveability of the structure; and (d) The degree to which the structure has already been modified; and Risks to the structure during the works; and (f) The outcome of any assessments or advice from a suitably qualified and experienced heritage expert; and The outcomes of consultation with HNZPT.	Accept
03.82	NZHPT	Support in part	HH-R6	That HH-R6 and the Cat 1-Discretionary activity Cat 2-Restricted discretionary and matters over which discretion should be reserved are retained subject to the following amendment to the Cat 2, Activity status, matters over which discretion is reserved: "(a) Effects on the heritage values of the structure including whether the alteration or addition is compatible with the scale, form, proportions and materials of the structure, and whether any architectural features will be removed or obscured; and <u>(aa) the extent to which the proposed alteration or addition is reversible, and</u> (b) Effects on the views of the scheduled structure from public spaces; and (c) The benefits obtained from the addition or alteration including increasing the sustainability, functionality and/or liveability of the structure; and (d) The degree to which the structure has already been modified; and	Accept

Submission no	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan provision	Relief sought	Recommendation
				(e) Risks to the structure during the works; and (f) The outcome of any assessments or advice from a suitably qualified and experienced heritage expert; and (g) The outcomes of consultation with HNZPT.	
03.83	NZHPT	Support	HH-R7	That HH-R7 and the discretionary activity status-Cat 1/Restricted discretionary activity status -Cat 2 and the associated matters over which discretion are restricted are retained.	Accept
03.84	NZHPT	Support	HH-R8	That HH-R8 and non-complying activity status for Cat 1 and the discretionary activity status for Cat 2 are retained.	Accept
03.85	NZHPT	Support	HH-R9	That HH-R9 and the non-complying activity status is retained.	Accept
03.86	NZHPT	Oppose	HH-R10	That HH-R10 is amended to a restricted discretionary activity as follows: "Any new building, new transportable building, or second hand relocated building located within the same site OR any new building, new transportable building, or second hand relocated building located within the same surroundings (general rural zone, PREC3 Aerodrome Precinct, and open space zone)." Matters over which discretion is restricted (a) Effects on the heritage values, context and the extent of setting of the historic heritage item; (b) Location, design, size, materials and finish; (c) Landscaping; and (d) The relationship of the historic heritage item with its extent of setting."	Reject
FS05.12	Federated Farmers	Oppose		Decline the relief sought	Accept
03.87	NZHPT	Oppose in part	HH-R11	That the activity is amended as per the matters sought under the definition's discussion in this submission.	Accept in part
08.08	Manulife Forest Management New Zealand Ltd (MFMNZL)	Support	HH-R11	Retain rule as notified.	Accept
FS19.116	PF Olsen	Support		Allow submission points where there is alignment with the PF Olsen submission	Accept
FS23.29	Te Nehenehenui	Oppose		TNN opposes where the submission conflicts with manawhenua, iwi, hapu, marae, cultural values or other submission points TNN support	Reject
03.88	NZHPT	Support	HH-R12	That the restricted discretionary activity status and assessment criteria of "External additions to existing structures or buildings" is retained.	Accept

Submission no	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan provision	Relief sought	Recommendation
03.89	NZHPT	Support	HH-R13	That the discretionary activity status of "Earthworks" is retained.	Accept
FS19.168	PF Olsen	Oppose		Disallow submission point	Reject
08.09	MFMNZL	Amend	HH-R13	Restrict discretion to direct impacts only.	Reject
FS16.31	NZHPT	Oppose		That the submission is declined	Accept
FS19.117	PF Olsen	Support		Allow submission points where there is alignment with the PF Olsen submission	Reject
FS23.30	Te Nehenehenui	Oppose		TNN opposes where the submission conflicts with manawhenua, iwi, hapu, marae, cultural values or other submission points TNN support	Accept
30.09	NZFM	Oppose	HH-R13	Delete HH-R13.	Reject
FS16.34	NZHPT	Oppose		That the submission is declined	Accept
FS19.09	PF Olsen	Support		Allow submission point	Reject
FS23.103	Te Nehenehenui	Not stated		Oppose and support in part where the submission points align to the submissions supported by TNN	Accept
46.33	Federated Farmers	Oppose with amendments	HH-R13	Amend HH-R13 so that the activity status is restricted discretionary rather than discretionary. And add matters of discretion to HH-R13 And any consequential amendments required as a result of the relief sought.	Reject
FS16.19	NZHPT	Oppose		That the submission point is declined	Accept
FS19.164	PF Olsen	Support in part		Allow as amended by PF Olsen	Reject
FS23.218	Te Nehenehenui	Oppose		Te Nehenehenui seeks to enhance the protection and maintenance of its people and taonga within the taiao as guided by Ko Tā Maniapoto Mahere Taiao – Maniapoto's Environmental Management Plan. Where submission points do not align with this, or have the potential to negatively impact on iwi, hapu, whanau cultural values, sites, and all taonga within TNN area of interest, TNN opposes submission points of this nature	Accept

Submission no	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan provision	Relief sought	Recommendation
				and requests that Waitomo District Council consider this when finalising the PDP review.	
46.34	Federated Farmers	Oppose with amendments	HH-R14	Amend HH-R14 to make the activity status restricted discretionary rather than discretionary. And add matters of discretion to HH-R14. And any consequential amendments required as a result of the relief sought.	Reject
FS16.20	NZHPT	Oppose		That the submission point is declined	Accept
FS23.219	Te Nehenehenui	Oppose		Te Nehenehenui seeks to enhance the protection and maintenance of its people and taonga within the taiao as guided by Ko Tā Maniapoto Mahere Taiao – Maniapoto’s Environmental Management Plan. Where submission points do not align with this, or have the potential to negatively impact on iwi, hapu, whanau cultural values, sites, and all taonga within TNN area of interest, TNN opposes submission points of this nature and requests that Waitomo District Council consider this when finalising the PDP review.	Accept
03.90	NZHPT	Support	HH-R14	That the discretionary activity status of “ <i>Erection of a new building or structure</i> ” is retained.	Accept
46.35	Federated Farmers	Oppose with amendments	HH-R15	Amend HH-R15 to make the activity status restricted discretionary rather than discretionary. And add matters of discretion to HH-R15 And any consequential amendments required as a result of the relief sought.	Reject
FS16.21	NZHPT	Oppose		That the submission point is declined	Accept
FS23.220	Te Nehenehenui	Oppose		Te Nehenehenui seeks to enhance the protection and maintenance of its people and taonga within the taiao as guided by Ko Tā Maniapoto Mahere Taiao – Maniapoto’s Environmental Management Plan. Where submission points do not align with this, or have the potential to negatively impact on iwi, hapu, whanau cultural values, sites, and all taonga within TNN area of interest, TNN opposes submission points of this nature and requests that Waitomo District Council consider this when finalising the PDP review.	Accept
03.91	NZHPT	Support	HH-R15	That the discretionary activity status of “ <i>Relocation or removal of a new building or structure</i> ” is retained.	Accept

Submission no	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan provision	Relief sought	Recommendation
03.92	NZHPT	Support	HH-R16	That HH-R16 and the non-complying activity status is retained.	Accept
FS19.169	PF Olsen	Oppose		Disallow submission point	Reject
03.93	NZHPT	Support	HH-R17	That HH-R17 and the non-complying activity status is retained.	Accept
FS19.170	PF Olsen	Oppose		Disallow submission point	Reject
08.10	MFMNZL	Oppose	HH-R17	Delete, or clarify which aspects of plantation forestry are to be regulated and amend status to restricted discretionary.	Accept in part
FS16.32	NZHPT	Oppose		That the submission is declined	Accept in part
FS19.118	PF Olsen	Support		Allow submission points where there is alignment with the PF Olsen submission	Accept in part
FS23.31	Te Nehenehenui	Oppose		TNN opposes where the submission conflicts with manawhenua, iwi, hapu, marae, cultural values or other submission points TNN support	Accept in part
19.11	PF Olsen	Oppose	HH-R17	Delete HH-17; Or Provide advice on the need to obtain an Authority from Heritage NZ and not require consent as well as an Authority. Or Amend the rule to apply to all primary production: Or Amend the consent activity status to align with the NBA bill.	Reject
FS16.38	NZHPT	Oppose		That the submission point be declined	Accept
FS23.66	Te Nehenehenui	Oppose		Te Nehenehenui seeks to enhance the protection and maintenance of its people and taonga within the taiao as guided by Ko Tā Maniapoto Mahere Taiao – Maniapoto’s Environmental Management Plan. Where submission points do not align with this, or have the potential to negatively impact on iwi, hapu, whanau cultural values, sites, the taiao and all taonga within TNN area of interest, TNN opposes and requests that Waitomo District Council consider this when finalising the review.	Accept
30.10	NZ Forest Managers (NZFM)	Oppose	HH-R17	Delete HH-R17.	Reject

Submission no	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan provision	Relief sought	Recommendation
FS16.35	NZHPT	Oppose		That the submission be declined	Accept
FS19.10	PF Olsen	Support		Allow submission point	Reject
FS23.104	Te Nehenehenui	Not stated		Oppose and support in part where the submission points align to the submissions supported by TNN	Accept
03.94	NZHPT	Support in part	HH-R18 and HH-R19	Add a note in the header of HH-R18 and HH-R19 advising that an archaeological authority may be required in addition to a resource consent.	Accept in part
03.95	NZHPT	Support in part	HH-R20, HH-R21 and HH-R22	That the restricted discretionary activity status of HH-R20, HHR21 and HH-R22 for signs located on any building or structure listed in SCHED1 or located on a significant archaeological site is retained. That a note advising of the possible need for an archaeological authority is placed in the header of this portion of the activity table.	Accept in part
03.96	NZHPT	Support in part	Advice notes: Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Activities may also require an authority from Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (HNZPT)	That the advice note is retained, and its location amended to be placed under each of the headings related to archaeological activities.	Accept in part
03.97	NZHPT	Support in part	Advice Notes: Accidental Discovery Protocol	That the Accidental Discovery Protocol is retained and amended as follows; <u>The Heritage New Zealand Regional Archaeologist must be notified and an application made apply</u> for the appropriate authority if required;	Accept
46.32	Federated Farmers	Oppose with amendments	New policy	Add a new policy to the Historic Heritage chapter to provide plan users with a clear understanding that access to significant archaeological sites, or sites of significance to Māori identified on private land will only be enabled with the express consent of the private landowner. The policy should also provide direction to plan users that potential adverse effects on private landowners must be avoided. And any consequential amendments required as a result of the relief sought.	Reject Access to sites and areas of significance to Māori will be addressed in the section 42A Report on Chapter 25.
FS16.17	NZHPT	Support in part		Subject to clarification the submission point is accepted	Reject

Submission no	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan provision	Relief sought	Recommendation
FS23.217	Te Nehenehenui	Oppose		<p>Te Nehenehenui seeks to enhance the protection and maintenance of its people and taonga within the taiao as guided by Ko Tā Maniapoto Mahere Taiao – Maniapoto’s Environmental Management Plan.</p> <p>Where submission points do not align with this, or have the potential to negatively impact on iwi, hapu, whanau cultural values, sites, and all taonga within TNN area of interest, TNN opposes submission points of this nature and requests that Waitomo District Council consider this when finalising the PDP review.</p>	Accept
46.36	Federated Farmers	NEW	New rule	<p>Add to Chapter 24 Historic Heritage a new rule that provides permitted activity status for the erection, repair, maintenance and replacement of fences and the cultivation of land for primary production or protection purposes;</p> <p>And</p> <p>Any consequential amendments required as a result of the relief sought.</p>	Reject
FS16.18	NZHPT	Oppose		That the submission point be declined	Accept
FS23.221	Te Nehenehenui	Oppose		<p>Te Nehenehenui seeks to enhance the protection and maintenance of its people and taonga within the taiao as guided by Ko Tā Maniapoto Mahere Taiao – Maniapoto’s Environmental Management Plan.</p> <p>Where submission points do not align with this, or have the potential to negatively impact on iwi, hapu, whanau cultural values, sites, and all taonga within TNN area of interest, TNN opposes submission points of this nature and requests that Waitomo District Council consider this when finalising the PDP review.</p>	Accept

Schedule 1 Heritage buildings and structures – Submission points in order of plan provision

Submission no	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan provision	Relief sought	Recommendation
03.159	NZHPT	Support	SCHED1	That the Historic Railway Cottage, at 239 Rora Street (HH13), is retained within SCHED1-Heritage Buildings and subject to the protective rule framework.	Accept
03.161	NZHPT	Support	SCHED1	HNZPT seeks that the HNZPT Listed items outlined below are retained within SCHED 1;	Accept

Submission no	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan provision	Relief sought	Recommendation
				HH01-Waiteti Viaduct-HNZPT listing 4175 HH02-Te Kuiti Railway Station-HNZPT listing 4450 HH04-Te Kuiti Airport Aero Club-HNZPT listing 4444 HH05-Te Kuiti Courthouse-HNZPT listing 4454 HH06-Zobel's Building-HNZPT listing 4449 HH08-Grand Central Lodge-HNZPT listing 4446 HH09-Te Kuiti Infant School-HNZPT listing 4443 HH10-Gardiner's Building-HNZPT listing 4452 HH11-Lorigans Building-HNZPT listing 4451 HH14-St Barnabas Church and Gates-HNZPT listing 9708 HH19-Waitomo Caves Hotel-HNZPT listing 4176 HH21-Boarding House-HNZPT listing 4267 With the exception of the matters raised below under Extents.	
03.162	NZHPT	Oppose in part	SCHED1 HH05	That the scheduled extent of item HH05- Te Kuiti Courthouse), is amended to reflect the HNZPT listing #4454 of the place known as "Courthouse."	Reject
03.163	NZHPT	Oppose in part	SCHED1 HH08	That the scheduled extent of item HH08- Grand Central Lodge (Formerly known as the Hotel Grand Central), is amended to reflect the HNZPT listing #4446 of the place known as "Grand Central Hotel (former)".	Reject
03.164	NZHPT	Oppose in part	SCHED1 HH19	That the scheduled extent of item HH19- Waitomo Caves Hotel, is amended to reflect the HNZPT listing #4176 of the place known as "Waitomo Caves Hotel."	Reject
03.165	NZHPT	Support	SCHED1 HH06/HH10/HH11	That the scheduled extents for items HH06/HH10/HH11 are retained.	Accept
03.166	NZHPT	Support	SCHED1	That the following items are retained within the schedule: HH03 & HH03A-Te Kuiti Cenotaph and War Memorial Arch HH07-Zobels Residence HH12-Not Known-building HH15-Aria Co-op Dairy Factory HH16-Awakino War Memorial Archway, wall and community Hall façade HH17-Awakino Post office and attached Post Masters House HH18-Awakino Tunnel HH20-Boarding house (Former) HH22-Mokau Mine HH23-Mokau Co-operative Dairy Factory HH24-Piopio War Memorial Obelisk HH25-Bill Glasgow's Blacksmith Cottage HH26-Bill Glasgow's Blacksmith Shop HH27-Bank of New Zealand HH28-Piopio Co-op Dairy Factory Store (former) HH29-St Mary's Catholic Church	Accept

Submission no	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan provision	Relief sought	Recommendation
				HH30-Benneydale Hall HH31-Kopaki School and Grounds HH32-Mapiu Store HH33-Mapiu Hall HH34-Mapiu War Memorial Gates HH35-Waitanguru World War 1 Memorial Station HH36-Whakatutumu Mission Station HH37-Kinohaku Wall and Memorial Plaque HH38-Te Waitere Mission Station Monument.	
17.170	Waka Kotahi	Support	Awakino Tunnel (HH18)	Retain the inclusion of the Awakino Tunnel (HH18) as a heritage structure.	Accept
17.171	Waka Kotahi	Support	Mokau Mine (HH22)	Retain the inclusion of the Mokau Mine (HH22) as a heritage structure.	Accept

Schedule 2 Significant archaeological sites – Submission points in order of plan provision

Submission no	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan provision	Relief sought	Recommendation
03.167	NZHPT	Support in part	SCHED2	<p>That the sites recognised within SCHED2- Significance Archaeological sites are retained subject to the following amendments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prior to final mapping for the Plan, an archaeological inspection and condition assessment, and should be undertaken for these sites and the inventory forms and site records updated accordingly. • Prior to final mapping for the plan, an archaeological survey should be undertaken by an archaeologist to map the extent of the site as part of this process to inform the extent of SAS05 shown in the Plan. • The inventory form should be updated to advise the specific archaeological features of the pa site and how the pa site is currently accessed/used by the public. • That consideration is given to the inclusion of the archaeological sites within the Te Kūiti Aerodrome and the Tainui domain, into the Plan schedule. 	Reject
FS23.06	Te Nehenehenui	Support in part		TNN recommends that where there is a reference to sites and areas of significance to Māori, this part is separated or removed and/ or amended to become part of the non-complying activity status	Accept

Definitions – Submission points in order of plan provision

Submission no	Submitter	Support / in part / oppose	Plan provision	Relief sought	Recommendation
38.11	TTRMC	Support with amendment	Maintenance (in relation to cultural and historic heritage)	Retain the definition of 'Maintenance (in relation to cultural and historic heritage)' with amendments as noted elsewhere in the submission.	Accept
FS20.40	Sheryl Paekau	Support		I seek that the whole of all submissions provided by Te Kohanganui Whare be allowed and to take into account my support in part when applied to limiting numbers of dwellings on Maaori land.	Accept
50.10	Te Nehenehenui	Support	Maintenance (in relation to cultural and historic heritage),	Retain as notified.	Accept
FS20.208	Sheryl Paekau	Support		I seek that the whole of all submissions provided by Te Nehenehenui Trust be allowed and to take into account my support in part when applied to limiting numbers of dwellings on Maaori land	Accept
03.02	NZHPT	Support in part	<p>maintenance and/or minor modification (of a significant archaeological site listed in SCHED2</p> <p>Significant Archaeological Sites).</p>	<p>That the definition of maintenance and/or minor modification (of a significant archaeological site listed in SCHED2 Significant Archaeological Sites) is retained and amended as follows:</p> <p><u>" means, in relation to any site listed in SCHED2 - significant archaeological sites, the following activities:</u></p> <p><u>(a) Vegetation management except for plantation forestry; and</u></p> <p><u>(b) Fencing; and</u></p> <p><u>(c) Removal of a building or structure not identified in SCHED2; and</u></p> <p><u>(d) Placement of approved or permitted signs; and</u></p> <p><u>(e) Any work required to stabilize a site; and</u></p> <p><u>(f) Any maintenance associated with an existing track.</u></p>	Accept in part
FS23.02	Te Nehenehenui	Opposes in part		<p>TNN opposes as it does not define the details of the type of work required to stabilize the site resulting in a site that could be heavily modified without any protocols in place or consideration given to Māori cultural values</p> <p>Stabilisation methods must be referenced.</p>	Accept

				Or add a clause that states that the modification or maintenance must be aligned to cultural values.	
--	--	--	--	--	--